### BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

RECEIVE CLERK'S OFFICE

IN THE MATTER OF:

APR 1 1 2003

STATE OF ILLINOIS

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO:

R03-19

Pollution Control Board

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION RULES IN 35

(NPDES Rulemaking)

ILL. ADM. CODE PART 309 NPDES

PERMITS AND PERMITTING

PROCEDURES.

Proceedings held on April 2nd, 2003, at 10 a.m., at the offices of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, 600 South Second Street, Suite 403, Springfield, Illinois, before Marie Tipsord, Chief Hearing Officer.

> Reported by: Beverly S. Hopkins, CSR, RPR CSR License No.: 084-004316

> > KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY 11 North 44th Street Belleville, IL 62226

KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY

#### APPEARANCES

#### HEARING TAKEN BEFORE:

Illinois Pollution Control Board 600 South Second Street

Suite 403

Springfield, Illinois

### ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD MEMBERS:

Mr. G. Tanner Girard, Ph.D.

Mr. Michael Tristano

Mr. Thomas E. Johnson

Ms. Doris C. Karpiel

Ms. Lynne P. Padovan

## ALSO PRESENT:

Mr. Fredric P. Andes

Mr. Roy M. Harsch

Mr. Toby Frevert

Mr. Albert Ettinger

Mr. Frederick D. Keady

Mr. Fred L. Hubbard

Mr. Mark Miller

Ms. Margaret Mitchell

Ms. Wendy Butler

NOTE: Various public participants also present but not duly identified in the record.

### INDEX

### OPENING STATEMENTS

Mr. Frederick L. Hubbard	6
Mr. Fredric P. Andes	15
Mr. Toby Frevert	25
Mr. Mark L. Johnson	51
Mr. Roy M. Harsch	53

### PRE-FILED TESTIMONY

	201210112	
Mr.	Frederick	6
Mr.	Fredric P. Andes	15
Mr.	Toby Frevert	25
Mr.	Mark L. Johnson	51
Mr.	Roy M. Harsch	53

(Note: Exhibits not tendered for inclusion into deposition transcript.)

# KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY

- 1 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Good morning. My name is Marie
- 2 Tipsord, and I have been appointed by the Board to serve as
- 3 hearing officer in the proceeding entitled In the Matter of
- 4 Proposed Amendments Public Participation Rules in 35 Ill. Adm.
- 5 Code Part 309 NPDES Permits and Permitting Procedures. The
- 6 Docket No. is R03-19.
- 7 To my right is Dr. Tanner Girard the lead board member
- 8 assigned to this matter. Also present to my left is Board Member
- 9 Michael Tristano and Board Member Doris Karpiel will be joining
- 10 us shortly. They have also been assigned to this matter. In
- 11 addition to Dr. Girard's right is Board Member Lynne Padovan and
- 12 to Member Tristano's left is Chairman Tom Johnson. In addition,
- 13 I believe, Chairman Johnson's assistant will be joining us as
- 14 well.
- MR. JOHNSON: He will.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Before we begin, I have a couple
- 17 of preliminary matters I'd like to address. I have two minor
- 18 motions before us. First on March 27th the Board received a
- 19 Motion to Substitute the Comments of the Illinois Coal
- 20 Association. That motion is granted. The second motion on the
- 21 same date we filed a motion -- we received a motion to file
- 22 instanter pre-filed testimony of Roy M. Harsch, and we also grant
- 23 that motion.
- 24 Also pending before the Board is a motion for a third

- 1 hearing filed by the Illinois Environmental Regulatory Group as
- 2 that motion is not currently ripe. We will not be -- I will not
- 3 be ruling on that motion. The Board will be ruling on that
- 4 motion in its meeting two weeks from tomorrow. Everyone has 14
- 5 days to respond to that motion in writing to the Board.
- 6 This is the second hearing to be held in this proceeding.
- 7 The purpose of today's hearing is to hear the testimony pre-filed
- 8 for today's hearing and to allow questions of the testifier.
- 9 If anyone here would like to testify and did not pre-file,
- 10 we will allow you to testify if time allows. There is a sign-up
- 11 sheet on the table to the left of the room if you wish to testify
- 12 but have not pre-filed.
- 13 The order in which we will hear the testimony was
- 14 determined by the receipt of testimony of the board's offices.
- 15 The first testifier will be Fredrick L. Hubbard, second Fredric
- 16 P. Andes, third Toby Frevert, fourth Mark L. Johnson and fifth
- 17 Roy M. Harsch. We will take the testimony as it's read.
- 18 Anyone may ask a question; however, I do ask you to raise
- 19 your hand, wait for me to acknowledge you, after I have
- 20 acknowledged you, please state your name and who you represent
- 21 before you begin your questions. Please speak one at a time. If
- 22 you are speaking over each other, the court reporter will not be
- 23 able to get your questions on the record. Please note any
- 24 questions asked by a board member or staff are intended to help

- 1 build a complete record for the board's decision and not to
- 2 express any preconceived notion or bias to the Board.
- 3 To the left of the room there are sign-up sheets for the
- 4 notice and service list. If you wish to be on the service list,
- 5 you will receive all pleadings and pre-filed testimony in this
- 6 proceeding. In addition, you must serve all of your filings on
- 7 the persons on the service list. If you wish to be on the notice
- 8 list, you will receive all Board and hearing officer order's in
- 9 the rulemaking. If you have any questions which list you wish to
- 10 be placed on, please see me at a break. There are also copies of
- 11 the current service and notice list inside of the room.
- 12 Dr. Girard.
- 13 MR. GIRARD: Good morning. Welcome to the second hearing
- 14 in this rulemaking proceeding to consider changes in the public
- 15 participation requirements for issuance of NPDES permits. We
- 16 appreciate the efforts of several organizations that filed
- 17 pre-filed testimony or public comments prior to this hearing
- 18 including Vermilion Coal Company, Illinois Coal Association,
- 19 Illinois-American Water Company, Illinois EPA and Illinois
- 20 Association of Waste Water Agencies. We look forward to your
- 21 testimony and questions today. Thank you.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Anyone else? Mr. Tristano?
- MR. TRISTANO: (Shakes head.)
- 24 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: All right. With that then, let's

- 1 begin if there's no other preliminary issues. Okay. Then we'll
- 2 start with Mr. Hubbard. Can we have you sworn in please.
- 3 WHEREUPON:
- 4 FREDERICK L. HUBBARD,
- 5 called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn,
- 6 deposeth and saith as follows:
- 7 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: And if there's no objection we'll
- 8 enter Mr. Hubbard's testimony as Exhibit No. 3 -- or No. 2, I'm
- 9 sorry. Seeing none, that would be admitted as Exhibit No. 2. If
- 10 you like to summarize your testimony, please, go ahead.
- 11 MR. HUBBARD: Please. My name is Fred, F-R-E-D, middle
- 12 initial L, Hubbard is H-U-B-B-A-R-D, like the lady famous in the
- 13 nursery rhyme. I represent Vermilion Coal Company. We were a
- 14 participant as amicus in the Prairie Rivers, Black Beauty case
- 15 that appears to have precipitated the request for rule changes.
- 16 It's my understanding that that decision on leave to appeal
- 17 was supposed to be filed at nine o'clock this morning with the
- 18 Illinois Supreme Court. In addition to what we filed, we would
- 19 like to suggest that the owner of property be considered as a
- 20 party in the permitting process. In the case of the Black Beauty
- 21 Coal Company permit, we have an owner with somewhere in excess of
- 22 10 million dollars worth of coal who was required to remain as an
- 23 amicus in the permitting process. Since this could materially
- 24 reduce the value of the coal owner's coal to nothing if the

- 1 permit is not allowed, we think consideration should be given to
- 2 permitting the owner to participate as a party.
- 3 We are an advocate of an efficient proceeding. We don't
- 4 want to see the permitting process get too long, too bogged down
- 5 or too technical, but we certainly have no objection with the
- 6 ultimate goal of clean water and I don't know how anybody could
- 7 object to that. We'd like to suggest that if documents, reports
- 8 or tables are to be attached to the petition for the permit and
- 9 to be attached to the draft permit or made a part of the draft
- 10 permit, that a similar requirement be imposed upon any objector.
- 11 Now the objections appear to be in two types. The NIMBY
- 12 objection which is the emotional plea. Typically most sincerely
- 13 heartfelt but it's more emotional than logic. So a logical
- 14 objection says that the permit doesn't meet a certain technical
- 15 requirement or it exceeds a certain limit or whatever, I would
- 16 suggest that the rules would include a requirement that the
- 17 objector also attach any documents, reports, tables, references
- 18 upon which they rely.
- One of the significant things that I have included in my
- 20 comments on the proposed rules is a suggestion that the modifiers
- 21 be deleted where it's like significant, reasonable. My basis for
- 22 that comes on 40 years of being a practical, downstate,
- 23 country-type lawyer. I don't say that you shouldn't use those
- 24 standards. Obviously you want to have a reasonable ruling. You

- 1 obviously want to make sure everything is significant, you want
- 2 to make sure it's admissible. But when you start putting those
- 3 terms into the rules themselves, you then create a possibility of
- 4 difference of opinion. What I regard as reasonable, someone else
- 5 might not regard as reasonable and somebody else might still have
- 6 a third opinion, and once you put that in there, you create a
- 7 basis for objections.
- Yeah, your decision was right, but it wasn't reasonable,
- 9 no. I think those things should be completely taken out and the
- 10 rule should be written fair square but interpreted with the
- 11 modifiers.
- 12 It's our opinions that these rules will be probably slanted
- 13 toward the objectors if they are approved as written, and this
- 14 relates to my previous comment. All the objectors has got to do
- 15 is say, you don't meet the test, you don't meet the rules, you
- 16 can't have your project. So these rules appear to attempt to
- 17 shift that burden over onto the petitioner completely, and we
- 18 think that the statute clearly provides that when an objector
- 19 raises an objection, has a burden of proof on appeal, the statute
- 20 still controls. It's a general rule, and I'm sure you're all
- 21 aware of it. That your rules have to be in accordance with the
- 22 enabling statute adopted by the legislature, and I don't think
- 23 you should deviate from that intent.
- 24 Lastly, with regard to the third hearing, my client would

- 1 have no objection to a third hearing. I'm done. Any questions?
- 2 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Thank you. Are there any
- 3 requests of Mr. Hubbard?
- 4 EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. ETTINGER:
- 6 Q. I'm Albert Ettinger. I represent the Prairie Rivers
- 7 Network, Yellow PC and a number of other petitioners. You're a
- 8 practicing lawyer for a long time obviously?
- 9 A. 40 years, sir.
- 10 Q. 40 years, sir. How do you feel about the fourth
- 11 amendment to the United States Constitution?
- 12 A. I'm in favor of it.
- 13 Q. Okay. So I assume you don't think that the guys who
- 14 wrote that were wrong when they put in unreasonable search and
- 15 seizure, do you?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. No. And that's because you can't define in advance all
- 18 the conditions in which a search and seizure might be reasonable?
- 19 A. I think that's somewhat different than these rules.
- 20 Q. Okay. How about the other cases -- Well, how about the
- 21 terms here that you refer to fair, are you -- you're not opposed
- 22 to fairness, are you?
- 23 A. Not opposed to fair.
- Q. Okay. How about if the Board has already used fair in a

- 1 portion of its rules, do you believe they should be stricken?
- 2 A. Not necessarily.
- 3 Q. But it gives -- it gives cause for appeal; right?
- 4 A. It could give cause for appeal.
- 5 Q. I see. Well, how about compliance with federal law, you
- 6 don't think that we shouldn't comply with federal law, do you?
- 7 A. I'm not quite sure where you're going with that. No,
- 8 I'm not against with complying with federal law. It may not be
- 9 applicable, however.
- 10 Q. Okay. But if it were applicable, you believe that our
- 11 conduct at the Illinois Pollution Control Board and the Illinois
- 12 Environmental Agency should be consistent with federal law?
- 13 A. Yeah, if it's a procedure to do that.
- Q. Right. Do you believe that the language in the existing
- 15 rules that requires the agency in many instances to act
- 16 consistently with federal law should be stricken?
- 17 A. Negative.
- 18 Q. You do not?
- 19 A. I do not.
- 20 Q. But you're opposed to that in this particular case?
- 21 A. In that particular context.
- 22 Q. And why is that?
- 23 A. Because it can create uncertainty.
- Q. And you don't believe there's uncertainty in the other

- places that it's located?
- 2 A. It's been there long enough. I think it's been
- 3 interpreted and, therefore, it's become more solidified.
- 4 Q. You think that it's so clear what federal law is in all
- 5 these cases --
- 6 A. Oh, no.
- 7 Q. -- the agency knows what its doing?
- 8 A. I know the agency knows what it's doing, but I'm not
- 9 sure if federal law is correct.
- 10 Q. I have a question about your objection with 35 Illinois
- 11 Administrative Code, Part 105, could you just describe that
- 12 section?
- 13 A. I didn't memorize it, sir. Which one did you ask about,
- 14 Al?
- 15 Q. Actually I'm anxious to see if -- It's the last sentence
- 16 of your pre-filed testimony.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: The last sentence of the
- 18 pre-field testimony?
- MR. TRISTANO: The last sentence on page 1?
- 20 A. The last sentence on page 2.
- 21 Q. (By Mr. Ettinger) Right. There is a hearing provision
- 22 under 35 Illinois Administrative Code Part 105 which is a
- 23 catchall review hearing which appears to have been totally
- 24 ignored in the proposed drafts?

- 1 A. Okay.
- Q. I just wanted to ask you what that's about?
- A. That's about the review hearing procedure that you and I
- 4 and others went through in the Prairie Rivers' objection to the
- 5 Black Beauty Coal in which your position before the Board was
- 6 that nothing that took place in that hearing was in the record
- 7 for review and, indeed, that's the way the procedure is set up.
- 8 That that hearing has not heard any new evidence to be
- 9 considered. And I'm suggesting that if that hearing is, in fact,
- 10 meaningless, it be abolished and if that hearing is to have any
- 11 meaning, that whatever takes place in the hearing, will be a part
- 12 of the record for consideration by the Board.
- 13 Q. So now 105 is the appeal?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. Okay. So what you're saying then is that the Board
- 16 should be willing to hear new evidence in the appeal proceedings?
- 17 A. What I'm saying is that perhaps in lieu of a third
- 18 hearing on any changes in the permit, that hearing could be more
- 19 meaningful if it did permit additional evidence, yes.
- 20 Q. Are you aware that the Board as pursuant to state law as
- 21 to what evidence it may hear in the appeal proceeding?
- 22 A. Completely.
- 23 Q. Sir, are you suggesting a change in state law or are you
- 24 suggesting that the Board should disobey state law?

- 1 A. No, I'm -- I don't have -- not disobeying state law.
- 2 I'm suggesting if in that hearing is, in fact, meaningless
- 3 because state law makes it meaningless, that it be considered to
- 4 be admitted.
- 5 Q. Okay. So your proposal is to actually to drop the
- 6 hearing in the appeals rather than --
- 7 A. Particularly if the third hearing is allowed on any
- 8 significant changes.
- 9 Q. Okay. Do you -- You speak about fair and reasonable for
- 10 the agency and the applicants provide documents and materials,
- 11 did you construe a petition as saying that parties who are
- 12 objecting to the permit would not be required to put materials
- 13 into the record but could still use those materials later in
- 14 appeal?
- 15 A. It's my understanding that the proposed new rules are
- 16 going to require additional filings from an applicant. Because
- 17 part of what is proposed and part of what we ran into in the
- 18 Black Beauty case, was that what needs to be attached to the
- 19 application in order to meet all the requirements. In this
- 20 process, as I learned very early in my legal career, is kind of
- 21 like a bowl of jello. You don't really know what you need until
- 22 something else happens and then that something else happens and
- 23 then you need something to respond that vice versa and that's the
- 24 way the evidence works.

- And it seems to me it's obvious that if an applicant hasn't
- 2 attached to something and an objection, should be attached, they
- 3 ought to have the opportunity to amend and attach it. Likewise,
- 4 if an objector comes up with something that maybe is raised
- 5 later, I don't have a problem with an objector raising something
- 6 later, but it seems to me we ought to get as close to the battle
- 7 as early as we can with as much ammunition as we can to keep this
- 8 process moving rapidly and efficiently and get to a fair and
- 9 reasonable result.
- 10 Q. But you don't have a problem with the rule that requires
- 11 applicants to get their information in within 30 days after the
- 12 public hearing?
- 13 A. That seems reasonable to me.
- 14 MR. ETTINGER: That's all I have.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Anyone else? Thank you very
- 16 much. Next we'll move on to Fredric Andes.
- 17 MR. ETTINGER: Excuse me. Can we go off the record?
- 18 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Sure.
- 19 (A discussion was held off the record.)
- 20 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: There's no objection. We will
- 21 admit the -- Oh, wait. First you have to be sworn in.
- 22 WHEREUPON:
- 23 FREDRIC ANDES,
- 24 called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn,

- 1 deposeth and saith as follows:
- 2 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: There's no objection. We'll
- 3 admit the pre-filed testimony of Fredric P. Andes as Exhibit No.
- 4 3. Seeing none, so admitted. I am pronouncing your last name
- 5 correct, aren't I?
- 6 MR. ANDES: That's correct.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Thank you.
- 8 MR. ANDES: I'm Fred Andes. I'm here on behalf of the
- 9 Illinois Coal Association. I'm with the law firm of Barnes &
- 10 Thornburg and as noted we pre-filed testimony. I'll summarize
- 11 that very briefly. The Association believes that the current
- 12 public participation procedures for the permitting process are
- 13 adequate. We believe that the proposed rule, and we've laid out
- 14 our concerns in the testimony, would have a series of problems.
- 15 We think overall it would introduce unnecessary complexity into
- 16 the process, slow the permitting process down substantially in
- 17 many circumstances and create costly delays for Illinois business
- 18 which would also discourage companies from locating or expanding
- 19 in this state.
- 20 However, we're open to working with the other stakeholders
- 21 to discuss these concerns, try to resolve them. We understand
- 22 that from the pre-filed testimony submitted by Illinois EPA that
- 23 the Agency is interested in doing that and perhaps modifying the
- 24 proposal. We look forward to working with the other stakeholders

- 1 to try to get a resolution that's acceptable to everyone.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Thank you. Are there any
- 3 questions?
- 4 EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. ETTINGER:
- 6 Q. I guess I have -- I guess I have a couple. You claim
- 7 your concern that in your pre-filed testimony that the appeals
- 8 regarding fairness might hold up the issuance of permits, is that
- 9 a concern of yours?
- 10 A. When you say appeals based on fairness?
- 11 Q. Claims that the proceedings and the Agency, the way this
- 12 would come up as I envision it, is that the Agency would grant
- 13 the permit but the third party would appeal to the Board based on
- 14 the claim that the permit was granted using unfair procedures,
- 15 are you concerned that that would lead to holding up a permit?
- 16 A. Yes, because of provisions like the one that says the
- 17 permit can't be issued unless the public has a fair opportunity
- 18 to comment. We're not sure as to what that kind of term means.
- 19 Does that mean only what the current procedures say in terms of
- 20 opportunity and comment? In this case we're not sure why it's
- 21 needed or does it mean something else, which then raises the
- 22 issue of some kind of subjective fairness concept of coming into
- 23 the process.
- Q. Well, it says proposed, and according to your testimony,

- 1 proposed revision would simply add an additional basis for
- 2 challenging a permit by the Agency, is that your concern?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. What's your understanding of what happens when a permit
- 5 has been issued by the Agency or somebody appeals it, what's the
- 6 legal affect of the permit?
- 7 A. The legal affect of the permit during the appeal
- 8 process?
- 9 Q. Correct?
- 10 A. Well, are you talking in terms of a third party appeal
- 11 or a discharge appeal?
- 12 Q. Well, if a third party appeal would be most important in
- 13 this case?
- 14 A. Well, let me ask you a question. It doesn't seem to me
- 15 that this process deals with that issue in terms of whether
- 16 permits condition are stayed or whether permits in fact; right?
- 17 Q. Exactly. So my point is is your concern sake that we
- 18 will be holding up permits and discouraging businesses from going
- 19 forward, is it not true unless the Board stays the permit that
- 20 the business can go forward with its valid permit that was issued
- 21 by the Agency?
- 22 A. Oh, I see the point. While it's true the permit would
- 23 be stayed, the discharge would be taking a risk that later in the
- 24 process that permit could end up being either modified or even --

- 1 or even revoked. So it's hard to go forward building your
- 2 facility or expanding or changing your discharge not knowing
- 3 whether at the end of the process things will be changed enough
- 4 to take down what you've put up.
- 5 Q. That's only if they think there's a danger that the
- 6 third party will actually win and prove to this Board that the
- 7 procedure that issued the permit was, in fact, unfair?
- 8 A. And true. And we believe that's why we're concerned is
- 9 that we believe this set of procedures gives new ground or could
- 10 be read to give new grounds for overturning a permit based on
- 11 various objective -- based on a very subjective basis. So
- 12 there's an increased likelihood that you will get a permit and
- 13 then it will be overturned on permit.
- 14 Q. And increased likelihood?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Are you familiar with the current regulations regarding
- 17 public hearings on NPDES application?
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. Okay. Are you ware that that clause requires that a
- 20 hearing be held which is stale?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Have there been a lot of appeals based on that?
- 23 A. Not to date.
- Q. And you think new appeals will be based on a broad

- 1 clause that will require the overall proceeding to be fair?
- 2 A. Well, they think that -- there are a number of changes
- 3 that are in the proposal that would give new grounds for appeal
- 4 and make it more likely that there would be significant permit
- 5 appeals in the future.
- 6 Q. If we were to change that fair opportunity to appeal
- 7 language or fair opportunity comment language to something that
- 8 was, shall we say, a little stiffer on a burden on appeal, would
- 9 that meet any of your concerns?
- 10 A. Well, it's hard to say without reviewing actual
- 11 language. As I said, we're certainly willing to sit down and
- 12 talk about those all these issues and see if there are ways to
- 13 resolve our concerns.
- 14 Q. Are you concerned about the proposal that issues -- that
- 15 permits not be issued if the procedures is used in issuing them
- 16 are not consistent with federal law?
- 17 A. Well, I think our -- our take on that, and in our
- 18 testimony, is that we believe that's redundant since currently
- 19 the permits cannot be issued if they're inconsistent with federal
- 20 law, so we're not sure why that was needed as part of the
- 21 regulation.
- 22 Q. So it's your belief that currently a permit could not be
- 23 issued if the procedures used to formulate it were inconsistent
- 24 with federal law?

- 1 A. Well, if the procedures -- the procedures that the State
- 2 has were issued and the State was given delegation by Illinois --
- 3 by USEPA, which I don't believe has been withdrawn, so the
- 4 judgment by USEPA has been that the procedures they say are
- 5 consistent with Federal law and USEPA has not vetoed any permit
- 6 on the basis that they're inconsistent with federal law, so I
- 7 don't think on that basis that we have an issue.
- 8 Q. So it's your position that State rules, once approved by
- 9 USEPA, could never be found later by Federal United States
- 10 Environmental Protection Agency as being misconstrued or
- 11 construed so that they're longer consistent with federal law?
- 12 A. No, it's possible that USEPA could determine that the
- 13 requirements are not consistent with federal law. I'm not sure
- 14 to what extent they would say, well, the rule itself in language
- 15 is consistent with the way -- the way it is being applied is not.
- 16 I'm not sure that's something that the EPA would instill.
- 17 Q. I'm sorry. I need to grab something. Okay. Is it your
- 18 belief now that, let's say, a third party brought in appeal and
- 19 they wanted to make an argument based on federal law that the
- 20 procedures that had been used by the Agency in issuing the permit
- 21 be improper, would that be a valid argument?
- 22 A. I think that's an argument to be brought to the USEPA.
- 23 I think the issue is if one believes that the State's procedures
- 24 are not consistent with federal law, USEPA has an opportunity to

- 1 require changes if it believes that's the case. But to -- to put
- 2 that into a State process and the State ought to be making an
- 3 independent determination of that, you know, irrespective of what
- 4 the Federal Government believes I'm not sure it's appropriate.
- 5 Q. Okay. So you're telling me I should file a
- 6 de-delegation position instead of going to the Illinois Pollution
- 7 Control Board with this problem?
- 8 A. I think the proper issue is whether USEPA has taken a
- 9 position that the Illinois procedures are not consistent with
- 10 federal law, that if that's the case, that the issue needs to be
- 11 discussed and resolved, but I don't think that the State
- 12 permitting process, individual permitting proceedings, I don't
- 13 believe are the proper venue for that issue of the validity of
- 14 the overall procedures.
- 15 Q. I think I'm clear, but just to be clear, let us imagine
- 16 that as applied or misinterpreted by the Agency someone were to
- 17 do something which was inconsistent with federal procedures for
- 18 issuing NPDES permits, it's your view that the only thing the
- 19 applicant -- or sorry, that the third party can do about it would
- 20 be to run to Region 5 and try and get an immediate de-delegation
- 21 of this State program in order to permit that permit from being
- 22 issued?
- 23 A. I think there are a number of options that involve
- 24 because I think there's a belief that State procedures or

- 1 application then is not consistent with federal law, and I
- 2 believe there are other options short of the delegation. But I
- 3 think that it's proper to say that's not an issue in the
- 4 particular meetings of individual permitting procedures in the
- 5 State, but rather if there is an issue whether of the procedures
- 6 or the application case that that's dealt with the matter in
- 7 federal law.
- 8 Q. Are you aware in numerous portions in the existing rule
- 9 which things are required to be consistent with federal law, do
- 10 you believe that was a drafting mistake?
- 11 A. No. As I said, we believe that adding this additional
- 12 condition, we're not certain to add it. If -- if it is already
- 13 in as it is settled in provisions that were not sure what to add
- 14 to that. If it is intended to add something to that, then we're
- 15 concerned about what that thing is.
- 16 Q. With regard to the language that is proposed in the
- 17 petition, which it's verbatim federal regulation, do you agree
- 18 that they properly quoted the federal regulations?
- 19 A. In the places where it is stated a provision of federal
- 20 regulations, I believe that's so.
- 21 Q. And so do you see any harm in putting it in the Illinois
- 22 rules other than the extra inc perhaps used in the --
- A. Well, I think we had two issues with regard to that.
- One, was in some areas simply means redundant. Seems to be

- 1 unnecessary. And then I think our second question is if it's not
- 2 redundant, if it's not a necessary interest, is there some
- 3 concerns that are being raised that's intended to be addressed by
- 4 this language. What we ought to be talking about is figuring out
- 5 more directive ways of the issues and how could it be addressed.
- 6 We're not sure why adding federal language has much of a benefit.
- 7 (Ms. Karpiel enters the room.)
- 8 Q. Well, are you confident in every case that current rules
- 9 require the Agency to act consistently with federal law, that
- 10 we've covered all of the areas in which we would want them to act
- 11 consistently with federal law?
- 12 A. Well, my impression has been, and I think the testimony
- 13 from the last hearing, it indicated that there are a number of
- 14 areas where the petitioner asks for federal language to be stored
- 15 and the testimony of the Agency was, well, we already do it that
- 16 way. We have done it that way. So we're not sure -- so my
- 17 question is then, well, is there a real need for us to add that
- 18 requirement when that's already what the Agency does, which goes
- 19 to the redundant or unnecessary issue.
- 20 Q. Well, I've paid my income tax, do you think there's a
- 21 law that's necessary for us to have a law that requires me to
- 22 continue paying my income tax or should we just go ahead and
- 23 abolish that law?
- 24 A. I think there are always plenty of requirements which

- 1 are responsible for people to pay their income tax.
- 2 MR. ETTINGER: I don't have any further questions.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Are there any other questions of
- 4 Mr. Andes?
- 5 MR. TRISTANO: In your conclusion you said the proponents
- 6 made the proposal would not improve opportunities for effective
- 7 public participation in the Illinois NPDES permitting, and then
- 8 you suggest that die-hard opponents of projects requiring NPDES
- 9 permits will delay those permits through uncommon administrative
- 10 appeals, could you just summarize why think those two things?
- MR. ANDES: Well, we think that there are opportunities
- 12 right now for interested parties with legitimate concerns to
- 13 raise those and get them addressed in the permitting process.
- 14 Our concern was that the proposed changes would introduce
- 15 substantial additional subjectiveness and subjectivity into the
- 16 process and would provide some rounds for objection that no one
- 17 would really know right now how they would be interpreted. And
- 18 then it confuses a lot of uncertainty into the planning process
- 19 for companies who want to locate or expand not -- simply not
- 20 knowing whether based on some of that fairly ambiguous language
- 21 their project could be stopped for years or even denied. That's
- 22 our concern and, you know, that's what we want to try to address.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Anything further. Thank you very
- 24 much, Mr. Andes. Next we will proceed with the testimony of Toby

- 1 Frevert, IEPA.
- 2 WHEREUPON:
- 3 TOBY FREVERT,
- 4 called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn,
- 5 deposeth and saith as follows:
- 6 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: If there's no objection we'll
- 7 admit Mr. Frevert's testimony as Exhibit No. 4. Seeing none, it
- 8 will be marked as Exhibit No. 4. Toby, if you'd like to
- 9 summarize.
- 10 MR. FREVERT: Okay. As stated at the last hearing it's the
- 11 Agency's objective to work through this petition and the proposed
- 12 amendments to Part 9 rules in detail in confrontation with the
- 13 various interested parties and stakeholders. I personally want
- 14 to make it clear we're trying to identify those areas of
- 15 disagreement and distance between the various parties and see
- 16 what role we can play in helping minimize or reduce those
- 17 distances and ultimately produce what we believe would be the
- 18 Agency recommendation on what is an appropriate modification of
- 19 the State Part 9 Regulation that makes the program manageable,
- 20 and it's at the same time accomplishes the legal objectives of
- 21 the program.
- 22 In moving in that direction we've -- since the last
- 23 hearing, we've had meetings with the proponents. We've had
- 24 face-to-face meetings with the representative of Illinois

- 1 Environmental Regulatory Group, the Illinois Association of Waste
- 2 Water Agencies. We had a conference telephone call with the
- 3 Illinois Coal Association. And our intent is to work with those
- 4 parties and any other parties who are interested in trying to
- 5 find the language of this petition -- to modify the language in
- 6 this petition to minimize potential conflicts and disagreements,
- 7 and that would be an Agency recommendation to the Board on how to
- 8 deal with this matter.
- 9 Several parties have indicated a desire to continue with
- 10 working with us after this hearing. I think personally the
- 11 hearing in and of itself is going to be constructive and helpful
- 12 to the Agency in hearing the various perspectives and the degree
- 13 of difference between the parties. And our attempts to fully
- 14 understand those differences and try to minimize those
- 15 differences to the extent we can minimize or eliminate those
- 16 differences, at least understand them well enough, that we can
- 17 make a specific recommendation on the Board as to how to deal
- 18 with that. And that's essentially a summary of where we are on
- 19 the process of my pre-filed testimony.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Any questions.
- 21 EXAMINATION
- 22 BY MR. ETTINGER:
- 23 Q. Just a couple. Over the years how long HAS IEPA had the
- 24 NPDES -- how long has IEPA been running the NPDES program in

- 1 Illinois?
- 2 A. Approximately since the mid '80s.
- 3 Q. What overall has been the trend of the public
- 4 participation on the permit hearing or on these permits?
- 5 A. There was a minimal participation in the air -- there
- 6 was minimal participation in terms of public interaction in the
- 7 early days. It has progressively gotten better in I'd say the
- 8 last six or seven years. I took a little stint and moved my
- 9 career from water pollution to air pollution from '91 to
- 10 approximately '97. When I came back in '97 I noticed a major
- 11 shift in the way the NPDES was functioned and the extent of
- 12 public comment and public interaction and the need for more staff
- 13 time to address it, so it's a significant increase.
- 14 Q. And that to your knowledge picked up in like the last
- 15 five years, last five or six years?
- 16 A. As I said I noticed my early years in water pollution
- 17 was significantly less active public participation role in
- 18 permitting process than it was in my last six years in
- 19 involvement with it.
- 20 Q. When did you -- when did you leave and when did you come
- 21 back, I'm sorry?
- 22 A. I believe February of 1991 I moved to the Division of
- 23 Air Pollution, and I believe I came back in 1996, perhaps 1997.
- 24 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. I'm just going -- I only brought, you

- 1 know, I brought three. I didn't have -- have any idea how many
- 2 to bring so I have an exhibit. Should I present this to you
- 3 or --
- 4 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Me.
- 5 MR. ETTINGER: I will identify this on the record as a
- 6 letter dated December 27, 2002, from Renee Cipriano to Thomas
- 7 Skinner with an attached annual performance partnership -- I'm
- 8 sorry, Annual Performance Report for FY02 Performance Partnership
- 9 Grant. I just had a couple of questions on this.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Before we proceed, let's go ahead
- 11 and admit it as an exhibit if there's no objection. Erin, could
- 12 you get us some copies made? We'll get copies to everyone else.
- 13 If there's no objection, I'll admit that as Exhibit No. 5.
- 14 Seeing none, that will be marked as Exhibit No. 5 and we'll have
- 15 copies for everyone in just a minute. Go ahead.
- 16 Q. (By Mr. Ettinger) Okay. On page 29 of the report it
- 17 states in the center here a Program Output 10: Status of all
- 18 delegates NPDES programs with regard to adoption for applicable
- 19 regulations and legal requirements (Source: End-of-year report).
- 20 Results: All regulations for delegated NPDES programs are
- 21 current as Illinois law allows direct application of federal
- 22 regulations. Did you have a lull in granting this language?
- 23 A. I honestly can't remember. I certainly had a role in
- 24 the document. That specific sentence I can't remember.

- Q. I got way ahead of myself. What is this document?
- 2 A. These are the program commitments that the Agency makes
- 3 to submit to the EPA on an annual basis which is the basis for
- 4 our federal operating grants.
- 5 Q. Okay. And so the statement made the USEPA was that in
- 6 the view of this document, at least, that current Illinois law
- 7 allows direct application of federal regulation?
- 8 A. That's certainly been my operating premise.
- 9 MS. TONSOR: I think that -- I'm Connie Tonsor. I'm ar
- 10 attorney with the agency and I have an appearance on file in this
- 11 matter. I am familiar with that language and perhaps would be a
- 12 better person to have that question addressed to then Toby.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: In which case we'll have you
- 14 sworn in, please.
- 15 WHEREUPON:
- 16 CONNIE TONSOR,
- 17 called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn,
- 18 deposeth and saith as follows:
- 19 MS. TONSOR: Essentially Section 39B provides that the
- 20 Agency can fold into permits affluent limitations and other such
- 21 requirements of the federal rule changes before we actually have
- 22 them actually folded into our regulatory set up here in Illinois
- 23 through a Board making or otherwise. Because we can fold in the
- 24 affluent limitations and the other requirements from USEPA, we

- 1 are, in fact, consistent with the requirements. Some of the
- 2 requirements that we are here dealing with aren't required of the
- 3 State. And there's a distinction between that which is required,
- 4 for instance, a change in categorical affluent limitations, and
- 5 that which is a additional item for public participation. So I
- 6 am familiar with the language and that was run through me first;
- 7 and secondly, that's how that language derives.
- 8 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. That's been helpful. My question now
- 9 is those do you interpret that rule as applying to all of the
- 10 requirements of the NPDES program -- all the requirement of the
- 11 NPDES program or just affluent limitations?
- 12 MS. TONSOR: I think if you look at the specific language
- 13 in 39B, it gives us permission to fold in the affluent and other
- 14 changes that are required, and the other changes that are
- 15 required language it has to be a requirement.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: And for the record, excuse me, if
- 17 I could clarify the Section 39B of the Illinois Environmental
- 18 Protection Act?
- 19 MS. TONSOR: Of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act.
- 20 I'm sorry.
- 21 MR. ETTINGER: So is it -- is it the Agency's view that all
- 22 federal regulations regarding the NPDES program are directly
- 23 applied to State permitting under current law?
- 24 MS. TONSOR: It is the Agency's view that the NPDES program

- 1 with the grand delegation has been determined to comply with
- 2 federal law, so a federal law is a living thing. And since the
- 3 early 1980s when the program was delegated, many types of
- 4 affluent limitations and many types of regulations have changed.
- 5 We recently had concentrated animal feeding operations. We can
- 6 regulate those operations because they're, in many aspects, a
- 7 definitional change of point source prior to actually having the
- 8 Board rulemaking on the books and that, I think, is what the
- 9 Agency means by that.
- 10 Q. (By Mr. Ettinger) Turning now to page 45. It's stated
- 11 here on Community Relations. Number of and description then it
- 12 gives result NPDES-5. Is that the number of public hearings that
- 13 were held on NPDES permits and FY-2002?
- 14 A. That's certainly it's intern report the tracking of
- 15 those hearings that our mine -- It's certainly the point here is
- 16 to report on the NPDES permit hearings that the Agency held
- 17 consistent with the community relations tracking and
- 18 participation in those agencies.
- 19 Q. So that's the order of magnitude that we're talking
- 20 about, a number of hearings that we had had in recent years on
- 21 NPDES permits?
- 22 A. I believe that's correct.
- 23 Q. I -- did -- Has the Agency in your past re-noticed
- 24 permits when they felt a change had to be made from what they had

- 1 first publicly noticed?
- 2 A. Yes, we believe we have the authority to go back to
- 3 another round of public notice if it is appropriate. And on a
- 4 few instances in the past we have actually done that.
- 5 Q. There's more than a few instances, aren't there?
- 6 A. It's certainly more than zero. And your point is do we
- 7 when we feel it is appropriate, yes, we do?
- 8 Q. Yes, sir.
- 9 MR. HARSCH: Roy Harsch on behalf of the Illinois
- 10 Association of Waste Water Agencies. Following up on Albert's
- 11 questions regarding the number of public hearings. Could you
- 12 please provide for the record the number of requested public
- 13 hearings, the number of public hearings, actually requests
- 14 granted themselves and held, the total cost for the public
- 15 hearings, expense of engineers, attorneys fees, court reporter,
- 16 travel, public notice for the last four physical years you
- 17 previously have discussed with the county.
- 18 MS. TONSOR: The Agency doesn't have any problem with
- 19 putting this information together and then submitting it on the
- 20 record. It will take a little bit of time to gather all of the
- 21 cost information. I think we can gather the information on
- 22 hearings held fairly quickly because I keep that information.
- 23 However, we have -- we'll have to go through files because we get
- 24 requests for hearings that are often on a number of permits all

- 1 in the same letter, so we'll just have to go through and just
- 2 look at the permits that we've noticed and gather together that
- 3 information, so we have no problem putting this information
- 4 together. It may take us a couple weeks to get it together.
- 5 MR. HARSCH: Following up again on an earlier question, if
- 6 USEPA were to amend the minimal public hearing requirements, for
- 7 example, to require a 90-day public notice period, would that be
- 8 a kind of change that you feel you could incorporate through
- 9 Section 39A?
- 10 MS. TONSOR: We would attempt to incorporate that if it's
- 11 required of the State to do so.
- 12 MR. HARSCH: Understand.
- 13 MS. TONSOR: It could have to be required of the State to
- 14 do so, and we also go for required rulemaking with the record.
- 15 MR. HARSCH: In the interim you would attempt to utilize
- 16 Section 39 if that was a direct legal requirement that a state
- 17 would have to give that kind of public notice?
- 18 MS. TONSOR: Yes. The time lines that we have now are
- 19 consistent with the required time lines for federal regulations
- 20 on State. And if the federal regulation changes to expand the
- 21 time line, we can expand the time line.
- 22 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MR. HARSCH:
- 24 Q. Can you or Toby explain on the record are you aware of

- 1 any notices of deficiency from the United States Environmental
- 2 Protection Agency regarding the public notice public
- 3 participation provision of the NPDES permit program as
- 4 administered in Illinois?
- 5 A. At this time I'm not aware that anybody has alleged
- 6 deficiencies. My interaction in working with USEPA Regional 5
- 7 permitting staff and legal counsel leaves me with a great degree
- 8 of comfort that they feel our public participation program is
- 9 adequate and consistent with federal laws.
- 10 Q. You would be aware of any such notices of deficiencies
- 11 if they noticed?
- 12 A. Well, if the notice of deficiency from USEPA, yes. If
- 13 it's an allegation from an outside party to USEPA, typically if
- 14 that's written communication, I see it either directly from that
- 15 individual or Region 5 would forward that to the Agency.
- 16 Q. And that would occur in one of your monthly over-site
- 17 discussions?
- 18 A. That would occur in some kind of an interaction
- 19 communication with IEPA, yes.
- 20 Q. Is it fair simplistic recital of the federal
- 21 requirements for public participation in the State's NPDES
- 22 program that you have the opportunity to provide public
- 23 assistance -- to have an opportunity to provide public comment on
- 24 a proposed permit and the opportunity to request a public hearing

- 1 to show the degree of public interest, and it is up to the
- 2 individual state director to make a determination as to whether
- 3 or not a significant degree of public interest warrants holding
- 4 the pubic hearing?
- 5 MS. TONSOR: Yes, there is discretion in whether you have
- 6 to hold a public hearing. There's no requirement that the public
- 7 hearing be held on each permit. The language that's used, I
- 8 believe, in both the federal and our state regulation is a
- 9 significant degree of interest. Significant can be one request.
- 10 Significant can be 1,000 requests. It just depends on the nature
- 11 of the request, and to some extent it depends upon the nature of
- 12 the permit which is up on notice, so there's no federal
- 13 requirement. There's currently not a state requirement that you
- 14 automatically go to hearing. There's a requirement that you have
- 15 comments. At our hearings we usually allow questions. Federal
- 16 hearings allow comments only. So there's a little bit of
- 17 distinction at that point.
- 18 Q. (By Mr. Harsch) There currently is an ongoing
- 19 discussion as well as actually legislation that's been proposed
- 20 to step up immediate V stricter in Illinois be present?
- 21 A. I'm somewhat familiar with that.
- 22 Q. More than somewhat I think probably is accurate. The
- 23 original request was for 27 million, was it not?
- 24 A. Our estimate of the revenue that would be generated by

- the fee structure in the original language that was sent at that
- 2 time Bill 1060 was approximately 26 plus million dollars.
- 3 Q. That would be in addition to the six million dollars
- 4 that the Agency would currently utilize under the State's
- 5 revolving loan plan?
- 6 A. That would be the revenue generated under that bill
- 7 independent of other sources of revenue.
- 8 Q. But that does not eliminate the continued use of the six
- 9 million dollars that the Agency would continue to utilize under
- 10 the State's revolving loan plan?
- 11 A. I don't believe that bill addressed other sources of
- 12 revenue at all and utilization thereof.
- 13 Q. Have the vast number of comments on NPDES permits and
- 14 request for public hearings originated from the proponents from
- 15 this proceeding for the last two years?
- 16 A. I'm sorry. I'm not sure I understand your question.
- 17 Q. In terms of the request for public comment on NPDES
- 18 permits and request for public hearings on permits that you're
- 19 familiar with, have those originated from the proponents in this
- 20 proceeding?
- 21 A. A significant amount of the public participation we get
- 22 in NPDES programs comes from organizations and individuals who
- 23 are either proponents or related to the proponents of this
- 24 proceeding, yes.

- 1 MR. HARSCH: Okay. No further questions.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Anything else? Could you state
- 3 your name and who you identify for the record, please?
- 4 MR. KEADY: I'm Frederick Keady. I'm president of the
- 5 Vermilion Coal Company.
- 6 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 7 BY MR. KEADY:
- 8 Q. I only have one question. If a draft permit as issued
- 9 in compliance with the State and Federal Clean Water Act is
- 10 subjected to public hearings and then is modified in a way that
- 11 is favorable to the comments that were received at the public
- 12 hearing, what benefit can possibly accrue from having additional
- 13 hearings?
- 14 A. Well, I don't know how to speculate on that, but I guess
- 15 my response would be to the extent that there is a significant
- 16 public interest and we decide that the public participation needs
- 17 to progress to the state of a hearing, it's obvious we're looking
- 18 for additional information, additional basis to reassess any
- 19 challenged or questioned conditions in terms of that permit and
- 20 as a result permit conditions do change. To the extent that
- 21 they're viewed in favor by one party or another is not objective.
- 22 Our objective is to get the permit right and apply the
- 23 regulations that are applicable, at least, the best way we have
- 24 to do that.

- 1 Q. Let me ask the question again in the form of an example.
- 2 The Agency issues a draft permit which is in compliance with the
- 3 law, you get two comments both of them from objectors, you
- 4 implement one comment and you don't implement the other one?
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 Q. What in the world benefit can come from having an
- 7 additional hearing? Name one?
- 8 A. Well, in your example my response, again recognizing how
- 9 the system works and how we operate, we bring in all the
- 10 information, all the requests, we digest them, we prepare a
- 11 written summary of those evaluations that explain how we react
- 12 and what our decision is to the extent that either party felt
- 13 like they didn't get what they needed, they may want to have
- 14 another opportunity to influence that permit. From my
- 15 perspective the proper way for that to be is, under Illinois law,
- 16 is to proceed to the next step which would be the appeal step,
- 17 not go back and do the prior step again.
- 18 Q. That's what this is all about, isn't it? It's about
- 19 another bite at the apple? Somebody's sore because they didn't
- 20 get their way or they only got their way partly, they don't want
- 21 to take their ball and go home or go to appeal step?
- 22 A. What this is about to me is to get public participation
- 23 procedures updated, clearly defined so that everybody
- 24 understands, particularly myself and my staff, what the operating

- 1 rules are and how we proceed in a fair and proper manner so
- 2 nobody's rights are tarnished.
- 3 Q. So with all do respect, the Agency is funded by the
- 4 taxpayers, the objectives for the most part are sloshing around
- 5 in foundation money, most of the people sitting in this room
- 6 here --
- 7 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Excuse me. You're testifying
- 8 now. I need to have you sworn in or put it in the form of a
- 9 question.
- MR. KEADY: Okay.
- 11 Q. (By Mr. Keady) If this rule is implemented, doesn't it
- 12 seem to be to get a process whereby we approach an option that
- 13 sympotically (phonetic).
- 14 A. We'll, you're speaking to a rule --
- 15 Q. If the objector gets half their wishes granted here,
- 16 they will never be satisfied?
- 17 A. Again, my ultimate responsibility is not whether any
- 18 particular individual is satisfied that the Agency allowed
- 19 appropriate, proper, open to public participation and ultimately
- 20 took an action that was legally correct and sensible and also
- 21 functional. I mean, in addition to our -- my first objective is
- 22 to comply with the law. My second objective is to make the
- 23 program work.
- 24 Q. Yes.

- A. And that's my objective here today. These public
- 2 participation rules have been proposed for some updating and
- 3 modification. I'm not opposed to updating and modification and
- 4 modernization of that. But I'm not yet ready to say there's a
- 5 specific set of words that I think that the Agency should support
- 6 to make that happen. I'm saying there is a concept here, this
- 7 hearing in particular, is going help us at the Agency to
- 8 understand everybody's perspective better and to help the Board
- 9 get right this kind of speculation.
- MR. KEADY: You have my sympathy.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Any additional questions?
- 12 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. CALLAHAN:
- 14 Q. Mike Callahan and two quick questions. First, I believe
- 15 appropriate to Connie considering her discussion, you indicated
- 16 that the current Federal Clean Water Act as well as state
- 17 regulation do not mandate public hearings but they do direct the
- 18 director to call for one if significant requests is extended, is
- 19 that correct?
- 20 MS. TONSOR: Correct.
- 21 MR. CALLAHAN: What is the criteria currently used by the
- 22 Agency to grant a public hearing?
- 23 MS. TONSOR: I think the Agency looks as whether the
- 24 questions are, in fact, something that is addressable through the

- 1 permit process, the comments at least due to the request for
- 2 public hearing. And secondly, we do look at the nature of the
- 3 permit, whether it is a controversial permit. And third, we'll
- 4 look at the number and the nature of the request. Although you
- 5 cannot say that one hearing request isn't a significant request,
- 6 there have been cases where we've had one of the requests of an
- 7 organization representing many, so those are the basic rules of
- 8 thumb but they are -- it is discretionary with the director.
- 9 MR. FREVERT: Let me follow-up on that if I could. Just
- 10 give you a specific example. I'm aware of one permit in the
- 11 northern part of the state where there was a multitude of
- 12 requests for a public hearing. In reality my perspective and
- 13 staff's perspective was that comments and questions to a great
- 14 extent came from misunderstanding of the permitting process and
- 15 what was being authorized in that case in lieu of honoring their
- 16 request for a public hearing. We deterred that action and
- 17 scheduled a public meeting which is less burdensome to us.
- 18 We still had to put the same number of people in vehicles
- 19 and send them up there and go through the process but the
- 20 follow-up work was not as burdensome. In that case it was sort
- 21 of extended public comment, public outreach. We identified those
- 22 issues so we can deal with them and actually help accomplish some
- 23 of their objectives external to the permitting process
- 24 themselves. Ultimately we got many of those people to withdraw

- 1 their request for hearings, so the issue to withdraw for those
- 2 who did not have a hearing request. But we don't automatically
- 3 pursue hearings in every instance, but I would offer that more
- 4 than half the time if there is a -- most of the time if there's a
- 5 credible issue at stake, we will honor the request for a hearing
- 6 or follow that some other fashion that will lead to clarification
- 7 and we'll withdraw that request for a hearing.
- 8 Q. (By Mr. Callahan) I'd like to ask one other question
- 9 then also. Goes back to the statement that you made under
- 10 Albert's questioning a little earlier. That there's much, much
- 11 more public involvement in the process within the last five years
- 12 than there was presumably during the first 25 years. This Clean
- 13 Water Act is 30 years old, that's what essentially what you said;
- 14 right?
- 15 A. That's my general perception, yes.
- 16 Q. And you were involved in water pollution control, as I
- 17 recall pretty extensively, before you transferred here; is that
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. I spent approximately 20 years doing water work before I
- 20 did air work.
- 21 Q. Those first 20 years were fine. During those 20 years
- 22 don't you think we saw a tremendous water quality in this state
- 23 along with the activities in for funding the extensive grass
- 24 program, cutting requirements, the enforcement of requirements

- 1 under the Clean Water Act?
- 2 A. If you're asking for a comment on the general assessment
- 3 of the overall program, yes, I'm proud to say we've done a lot in
- 4 that period.
- 5 Q. I would agree. I would agree. And it would appear that
- 6 NPDES permitting procedures are in place then afforded that, did
- 7 they not?
- 8 A. They were certainly a piece and component of the program
- 9 that had a lot of waste water treated or eliminated, yes.
- 10 Q. And then if we were to turn around and look at
- 11 improvements and such things as parameter compliance issues, such
- 12 of that in the last 5 or 10 years, how much relatively speaking
- 13 would you see then as compared to the first 20?
- 14 A. Compliance rates, I cannot give you statistics. I can
- 15 sort of tell you kind of perceptually that the level of
- 16 professionalism in terms of treatment plant operators and people
- 17 out on the street that make these things work has greatly
- 18 increased. Staffing of that industry is probably increased
- 19 compliance in that.
- 20 Q. How many backlog permits do you currently have?
- 21 A. I checked on that approximately a month ago. We have --
- 22 Individual permits we have over 2,000.
- Q. Over 2000 backlogged permits?
- A. No, no, no let me finish, Mike. There is a total

- 1 population of 2,000 and about 50 to 2,100 to individual entities
- 2 covered by individual permits covered in Illinois. There are
- 3 another 650 entities covered by general permits not counting
- 4 storm water permits. In terms of application, either for renewal
- 5 of permits or some kind of a modification of that permit, the
- 6 last I checked three or four weeks ago there were approximately
- 7 1,000 applications logged in that had not been processed yet.
- 8 Q. Would it be safe to say that process in of these permits
- 9 has occurred as a result of a lack of resources available?
- 10 A. We sure would like to. I sure would like to see NPDES,
- 11 if that's what you're offering.
- 12 Q. No, that's no -- I'm worried about -- I'm sure you
- 13 would. Regrettably I don't know that my constituents would see
- 14 that. The point I'm trying to make here is that these permits
- 15 obviously would have been issued if you had the staff time and
- 16 resource time to undertake them?
- 17 A. Sure. I don't -- I don't want to belittle the issue.
- 18 Backlog is one of the biggest headaches we have in the program in
- 19 NPDES. I think the reality program itself is much more
- 20 comprehensive and complex than it was 25 years ago. Public
- 21 participation does demand more time and attention than it did
- 22 before. I personally don't think that's a bad thing except
- 23 people that do our analysis and write our permits are great
- 24 engineers and scientists, and sometimes their writing and

- 1 communication skill results in things slowing down. People have
- 2 to learn as they come along. Don't characterize the Agency as in
- 3 anyway trying to avoid full and open public participation.
- 4 That's not our objective.
- 5 Q. Oh, no, I would never make that -- But your inclination
- 6 then is that the permit backlog is principally a function of --
- 7 A. New program requirements, both state and federal
- 8 requirements are much more comprehensive. State affluence
- 9 standards, federal categorical treatment standards, you got
- 10 requirements to do water quality analysis and determine potential
- 11 to proceed water quality standards and follow-up on permit
- 12 limitations to avoid that.
- 13 For new and expanded things we're doing a more
- 14 comprehensive, more sophisticated post anti-degradation issues.
- 15 Public participation does indeed require more time and effort and
- 16 sophistication so there's a whole cadre of things that lead to
- 17 the fact that NPDES program is a bigger workload than it has ever
- 18 been with the same population than we indeed would serve.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Anything else?
- 20 MR. ANDES: I just have one quick question.
- 21 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 22 BY MR. ANDES:
- 23 Q. Toby, the question with regard to a particular provision
- 24 in the petition which is a change in 309.146, it would be a new

- 1 Section A5 concerning monitoring requirements?
- 2 A. Okay. If I look for it, I'll answer the question.
- 3 Q. Is it your understanding that that language is verbatim
- 4 from the federal regulations?
- 5 A. 309.146 A6.
- 6 Q. A5.
- 7 A. A5. It's possible. I can't -- I can't honestly say I
- 8 remember that exact language on particular CFR but I'm not saying
- 9 -- I'm telling you I don't.
- 10 Q. I believe it is. But my question is, concerning how
- 11 this would affect current Agency policy, as I understand current
- 12 Agency policy, tell me if this is correct, there are some
- 13 situations where the Agency, rather than putting detail
- 14 monitoring requirements into a permit, will instead require the
- 15 discharger to submit a monitoring plan; is that correct?
- 16 A. That is to allow a monitoring plan or some kind of a
- 17 special study that would seem to a follow-up the treatment
- 18 requirements on reporting. I believe you'll find some testimony
- 19 on my behalf to that effect in the prior year.
- 20 Q. Would it be your understanding that inserting this
- 21 language would change that practice or would you still be able to
- 22 do that?
- 23 A. I don't believe this ties our hands and specifies the
- 24 date of permit issuance. We cannot include a condition that

- 1 requires some study or action that would ultimately lead to
- 2 another condition or requirement within the operation of that
- 3 permit. It's certainly my hope that's the intent. The program
- 4 cannot function if there wasn't that latitude to use of the NPDES
- 5 program to actually derives studying, planning, design,
- 6 construction activities, other things appearing about future
- 7 improvements.
- 8 MR. ANDES: Thank you. That's all I have.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Anything else?
- 10 RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MR. ETTINGER:
- 12 Q. I have one question. With regard to the situation in
- 13 which the Agency decides if further studies or something is
- 14 necessary that is tied on the monitoring, does the Agency then
- 15 allow the public to comment on monitoring the proposed as a
- 16 result of those further studies?
- 17 A. That probably depends on the nature of those things.
- 18 This particular requirement and provisions I know in some
- 19 instances we have done that. I would say instances typically
- 20 where, for instance, we require a compliance schedules, and
- 21 design and installation of hardware or specific information to
- 22 get to that point of compliance that date of the future.
- 23 We don't make those design plans as -- we do not make those
- 24 plans and specs and designs and other documentation showing that

- 1 the technical details of how you're going to eliminate a plant
- 2 sewer overflow, for instance, within 18 months and things of that
- 3 nature.
- 4 Q. You do not make that subject to public review now?
- 5 A. At the present time we do not. Now in some limited
- 6 instances -- For instance, Metropolitan Water Reclamation
- 7 District we reissued their permits possibly a year and-a-half go.
- 8 There was a requirement in there that they develop a public
- 9 notification program to somehow notify interested parties upon
- 10 the rainfall that resulted in overflow so people understand that
- 11 there were overflows taking place.
- 12 We specifically require that they go to a public outreach
- 13 and public participation component themselves, and that's why
- 14 they had to do that before the plan was viewed and finalized and
- 15 submitted.
- 16 Q. Without regard to how you and I may construe this
- 17 federal provision, the 122.4A, Requirements for Reporting and
- 18 Recording -- 122.4A the requirements for recording and reporting
- 19 of monitoring results applicable to State programs, regardless of
- 20 how you and I may differ in our construction of that, provisions
- 21 you agree that that's currently something that the agency is
- 22 attempting to comply with?
- 23 A. I think so, yes, if I understand your question
- 24 correctly.

- 1 MR. ETTINGER: That's it.
- 2 MR. ANDES: Can I ask one follow-up question on that?
- 3 Toby, in instances where the Agency, for example, on a CSO issue
- 4 requires various studies to be submitted as part of a compliance
- 5 schedule, are those studies put in the permit file and available
- 6 for public review?
- 7 A. Yeah, after the fact. I should mention that all the
- 8 information is available for public inspection, sure.
- 9 MR. ANDES: Thank you.
- 10 MR. GIRARD: I have one question for Toby. How much time
- 11 do you need to complete your consultation with the various groups
- 12 involving this rulemaking and then put together comments that you
- 13 file with the Board?
- 14 A. Well, my lawyers may shoot me but I'm willing. Yeah,
- 15 my intention is to have it done in three weeks or less. We don't
- 16 have any desire to drag this out. We've got other workload in
- 17 addition to this. We like to hone in what the real issues are,
- 18 get them resolved as best we can and get a recommendation to you
- 19 in less than a month hopefully, three weeks.
- 20 MR. GIRARD: Thank you.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Anything else?
- 22 MS. PADOVAN: Am I allowed to ask a question of someone
- 23 who's already testified?
- 24 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Absolutely.

- 1 MS. PADOVAN: I have question for the first gentleman who
- 2 testified. I believe that was Mr. Hubbard.
- 3 MR. HUBBARD: Yes, ma'am.
- 4 MS. PADOVAN: You mentioned in your comment the word NIMBY
- 5 and anybody who has been around this business for very long that
- 6 NIMBY conjures up different meanings to different persons, would
- 7 you define what you mean?
- 8 MR. HUBBARD: NIMBY, not in my back yard, and basically
- 9 that is the type of objection that frequently is not a technical
- 10 objection. It's not based on output. It's simply if we don't
- 11 want this project, it's frequently characterized by such things
- 12 as a personal fear for family and the individual's health,
- 13 property values, but it's a very difficult kind of objection to
- 14 quantify other than that and that's what I mean by NIMBY.
- MS. PADOVAN: Thank you.
- MR. HUBBARD: You're welcome.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: All right. Let's take about a
- 18 10-minute break. Be back on at 11:30. Get everyone a little
- 19 chance to stretch their legs. Thank you.
- 20 (A short break was taken.)
- 21 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Okay. We're going to start next
- 22 with Illinois-American Water Company. Mr. Mark Johnson. Can we
- 23 have you sworn in, please.
- 24 WHEREUPON:

MARK JOHNSON,

- 2 called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn,
- 3 deposeth and saith as follows:
- 4 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: If there's no objection, we'll
- 5 enter Mr. Johnson's testimony as Exhibit No. 6. Seeing none,
- 6 we'll mark that as Exhibit No. 6. Thank you. If you would like
- 7 to come around.

1

- 8 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, my name is Mark Johnson. I'm vice
- 9 president of engineering for Illinois-American Water Company, and
- 10 I have with me today Mary Sullivan who is our associate corporate
- 11 counsel. Illinois-American Water Company provides water and
- 12 waste water service to 27,000 water customers and 30,000 waste
- 13 water customers in 124 communities in Illinois from Cairo to
- 14 Chicago.
- 15 As mentioned other detail comments have been provided and
- 16 I'll just summarize the major points in our comments.
- 17 We believe that administrative proposals are generally
- 18 acceptable. I guess our major concern is that in some of the
- 19 proposals there are no definitive end points of time lines
- 20 specifically with comment periods and we would like to see some
- 21 more definitive end points to the proposed comment periods.
- 22 Suggesting maybe the initial comment period be 60 days, and if
- 23 the record needs to be reopened, perhaps the comment period
- 24 should be set at 30 days.

- 1 The way the proposed rules are written they seem to be
- 2 fairly open-ended. Our business involves the collection,
- 3 treatment and distribution of codable drinking water and the
- 4 collection and treatment of domestic waste water, and it's the
- 5 treatment portion of our business that is of concern.
- 6 Whenever we build a new water or waste water treatment
- 7 facility, these facilities generate waste and we have defined
- 8 solutions to get rid of the waste, and we obviously believe in
- 9 the public participation process. In fact, we have a prime
- 10 example where public participation resulted in a unique solution
- 11 to the discharge of waste from a water treatment facility and
- 12 that has to do with our Alton Treatment Facility where we came up
- 13 with the suspended solid straightening program which is now being
- 14 adopted by USEPA as a model. That would not happen if we did not
- 15 have public participation.
- 16 But a lot of times we are in a position where we need to
- 17 get these facilities in service quickly to solve public health
- 18 situations. And it would be desirable to have, you know,
- 19 definitive time lines for NPDES process to work its way through
- 20 so that's why we're suggesting that maybe the comment period
- 21 needs to be extended a little bit to allow that to happen and
- 22 that essentially concludes our comment.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Thank you. Any questions?
- 24 EXAMINATION

- 1 BY MR. ETTINGER:
- Q. I really don't have much. I just have a question. You
- 3 said if the record must be reopened, the extended comment period
- 4 should be for 30 days, is it your understanding that under
- 5 current procedures IEPA can reopen the records?
- 6 A. Well, it was our interpretation of what was proposed
- 7 there was an open-ended reopening period, but I'm not certain
- 8 what the existing rule is for the existing rules for the Agency.
- 9 MR. ETTINGER: Thank you. That's all.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Anything further. Thank you very
- 11 much. Next we have Roy M. Harsch. Could we have you sworn in
- 12 please. And if there's no objection we will mark Mr. Harsch's
- 13 testimony as Exhibit No. 7. Seeing none, will be marked as
- 14 Exhibit No. 7. Go ahead.
- 15 WHEREUPON:
- 16 ROY M. HARSCH,
- 17 called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn,
- 18 deposeth and saith as follows:
- 19 MR. HARSCH: And brief summary, the Illinois Association of
- 20 Waste Water Agency is an association made up of sanitary
- 21 districts and municipalities that operate publically on treatment
- 22 works and some privately owned treatment works throughout the
- 23 state of Illinois.
- 24 Essentially IW -- IAWA believes that the proponents have

- 1 not carried their burden of showing why this rule change is
- 2 necessary. We've heard testimony today that, in fact, there are
- 3 no notices of inadequacies by USEPA. We are concerned about the
- 4 re-write of a number of the regulations that seem to add a new
- 5 level of bureaucratic requirements to permit issuance that will
- 6 translate in additional time delay, additional staff demands, and
- 7 additional cost. We are also concerned about the -- what amounts
- 8 to under the regulation -- draft regulation as written, the
- 9 opportunity for essentially a never-ending set of public comment
- 10 on opportunity for public hearing.
- We are thankful that the Illinois Environmental Protection
- 12 Agency has agreed to step in and attempt to re-write the
- 13 regulations incorporating what we all have -- members have been
- 14 experiencing for the past 25 years of the Agency permit issuance,
- 15 and we have, in fact, met with representatives of the Agency and
- 16 reviewed the initial draft and will be doing so in the next
- 17 several weeks.
- We look forward to working with the Agency, the other
- 19 stakeholders and the other proponents in attempting to come up
- 20 with hopefully a workable draft that will eliminate some of these
- 21 unnecessary burdens, bureaucratic burdens that we think are in
- 22 the present draft and eliminate the essentially second and third
- 23 bite of the apple for public additional -- public comment and
- 24 public hearings that we don't think are necessary.

- 1 This is all coming at a time when Illinois has a budget
- 2 crisis. We're looking at requests that would mean substantial
- 3 amount of fees if enacted by the legislature for these publicly
- 4 owned treatment works. 27 million dollars is a figure that Toby
- 5 mentioned this morning. A good portion of that would have to be
- 6 paid by the municipal dischargers that are required to obtain
- 7 NPDES permits, so we believe that the proponents of this
- 8 regulation have done an admirable job of raising their public
- 9 comments, and we've seen more and more involvement.
- We're not opposed to that involvement under permits where
- 11 they truly have points to raise and questions be made on NPDES
- 12 permits or draft permits. Sometimes we question the tactic that
- 13 this seems they're making public comments and slowing down permit
- 14 issuance because they maybe, in fact -- individuals may be
- 15 opposed to new growth in an area. But -- And that's really what
- 16 the part is the genisis for some of our objections to adding
- 17 additional procedural and hearing requirements that appear to be
- 18 -- have no boundaries, and we're hoping -- the draft that I've
- 19 seen from the Agency cures some of those problems and we're
- 20 hopeful that final draft will as well. Thank you.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Any questions.
- 22 EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MR. ETTINGER:
- Q. I just have a couple. That will be useful to all of us

- 1 have a -- You have a lot of back ground in working on these
- 2 regulations don't you, Mr. Harsch? I'm sorry?
- 3 A. Yes, I've been involved since the inception and current
- 4 draft of the -- of what are the current NPDES regulations that
- 5 are in issue in this proceeding.
- 6 Q. When were those written?
- 7 A. They were originally drafted as a re-draft of the
- 8 proposals that were filed by the Illinois Environmental
- 9 Protection Agency. They were re-drafted in the summer, spring,
- 10 late summer of late 1974 and ultimately adopted by the Board in
- 11 -- August 29th and September 5th of '74.
- 12 Q. When were they last substantially revised?
- 13 A. They basically haven't been. I would be --
- 14 MR. ETTINGER: Thanks. I have no more questions.
- 15 A. And we have no objection to the -- if the Agency feels
- 16 that if a re-write of the regulations modernizing them are in
- 17 order, we just question the current proposal.
- 18 MR. HUBBARD: One question to, Mr. Harsch, the fact that
- 19 rules haven't been rewritten for 10, 20, 30 years, does that
- 20 necessarily make them bad rules in any way?
- 21 MR. HARSCH: No, it does not.
- 22 MR. ETTINGER: And you agree to the fourth amendment?
- 23 (Laughter.)
- 24 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Anything further? Thank you

- 1 Mr. Harsch. At this time could we go off the record for just a
- 2 second.
- 3 (A discussion was held off the record.)
- 4 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: We have four people who have
- 5 signed up to testify. Fredric Keady, president of the Vermilion
- 6 Coal Company. We'll start with you. Can we have you sworn in,
- 7 I'm sorry.
- 8 WHEREUPON:
- 9 FREDRIC KEADY,
- 10 called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn,
- 11 deposeth and saith as follows:
- 12 MR. KEADY: My name is Fredric Keady. I'm the president of
- 13 Vermilion Coal Company and chairman and chief executive officer
- 14 of Iron Carbide Technologies which is the apparent company of
- 15 Vermilion. The Vermilion Coal Company is the principal lessor to
- 16 Black Beauty Coal Company in the matter of the Vermilion growth
- 17 permit which was the subject of the -- which led to this hearing.
- 18 Our company is -- owns in excess of 200 million tons of
- 19 coal in Illinois. Outright we have 100 billion cubic feet of
- 20 natural gas in application. We have 3,000 acre feet of fresh
- 21 water in Illinois underground, and we own the intellectual
- 22 property rights to the Iron Carbide process. What we do is we're
- 23 project developers. All of our projects are given, in one sense
- 24 or another, in attempt to respond to and improve environmental

- 1 values. The body of coal that's subject to the Vermilion growth
- 2 permit, and the previous permit, was the Viola mine. Both were
- 3 acquired and promoted by us and developed to comply with the acid
- 4 rain rule of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, and they have,
- 5 in fact, fit that market as well.
- 6 We're disappointed in expending a great deal of money and
- 7 effort to respond to this perceived environmental need to
- 8 essentially have these permits held up by what appeared to us to
- 9 be technicalities. Other projects that we have under development
- 10 include the projected underground hydroelectric storage program,
- 11 also in Vermilion County, which could have the capability to
- 12 store energy from winds or other unconventional projects so that
- 13 it could be sold at higher than the kinds of prices we want to
- 14 receive.
- One of the problems with wind power, it gives you power
- 16 when you don't need it and it doesn't give you power when you do
- 17 need it. The potential capacity of this problem is about 4,000
- 18 megawatts, so about equal to four nuclear power plants.
- 19 Let's talk what we're here today to talk about these
- 20 proposed regulations. I've been accused of being a lawyer in the
- 21 past but I'm not. I'm just a business man. So what we try to do
- 22 is put these projects together with investors in a way so that
- 23 they will appeal to some perceived demand, again, all of which I
- 24 said are environmentally driven and hopefully make some money in

- 1 the end.
- 2 I believe that we all know that if we were to rank the
- 3 sources of water pollution in Illinois today, agriculture is at
- 4 the top of the list generating most of the water pollution.
- 5 Second is municipalities, mining, oil and gas and manufacturing
- 6 generate a relatively small fraction of total water pollution.
- 7 We can argue about what that fraction is but I don't think anyone
- 8 can disagree with these rankings. Nevertheless, because mining
- 9 and manufacturing are primarily point sources, they tend to be
- 10 the most heavily regulated and that's not -- that's not in itself
- 11 bad. Point sources tend to be easier to regulate and more
- 12 cost-effective than distributing sources.
- 13 However, what we have now is the point where most of the
- 14 regulatory attention is being paid to a relatively tiny fraction
- 15 of the total emissions water pollution. Where it needs to be
- 16 paid is to some of the larger contributors primarily agriculture.
- 17 Now the petitioner for this rule change Prairie Rivers in
- 18 the late '90s correctly identified agriculture as a major
- 19 contributor to water pollutants. They mounted a vigorous
- 20 campaign and appeared to drop it like a hot potato when they took
- 21 up to attack our lessee, Black Beauty, which I don't understand
- 22 why they did that, but it was very puzzling.
- 23 I have some proposed -- I have one more comment. We can
- 24 discuss the cost of delay and uncertainty. Yes, when a permit is

- 1 being disputed, the permit holder may go ahead and discharge
- 2 pursuant to the permit that was lawfully issued by the Agency.
- 3 However, the fact is that most of these projects are funded by
- 4 some sort of data project financing, and to the extent that a
- 5 final permit is not in hand can adversely affect the ability of a
- 6 project developer to implement his project.
- 7 So to the extent an intervenor group has an agenda which is
- 8 larger than the particular permit that's being disputed and we
- 9 can't, you know, I think we need to recognize here that there are
- 10 a lot of people that think coal is a bad thing, but we're not
- 11 here to talk about global warming. We're not here to talk about
- 12 acid rain. We're not here to talk about other things. But the
- 13 people who are belaboring us with these constant rule changes in
- 14 appeals are here for that purpose. They have a larger agenda.
- 15 Our agenda is transparent.
- I have some specific comments. One, during the unfolding
- 17 of the Prairie Rivers matter, I was very troubled by the ex parte
- 18 contact that had existed between the intervenors and the agencies
- 19 and other government bodies that provide that. Therefore, I
- 20 believe all ex parte contact between the applicant, the
- 21 intervenors, the Agency and other involved government entities
- 22 should be logged, and any documents that arise from that and
- 23 notes of those contacts should be made part of the public file.
- 24 Second, along the lines of the questions I had to

- 1 Mr. Frevert, when a permit -- when a draft permit is issued and
- 2 public comments are taken and that permit is modified in
- 3 accordance with questions raised at those public comments in a
- 4 direction that is favorable to the objectors, those changes
- 5 should be exempt from any further comment requirements that might
- 6 arise out of this proposed rulemaking, otherwise what we're going
- 7 to get is an acetonic approach, a never-ending approach towards
- 8 an outcome and there never will be an outcome.
- 9 The only way we're going to get an outcome in a situation
- 10 like that, is for everybody to be so exhausted that they just
- 11 quit fighting. And those of us who have a business to pursue,
- 12 customers to satisfy, financing to raise are also going to get
- 13 discouraged and we're going to do something else.
- 14 My company was denied standing by the Illinois Pollution
- 15 Control Board in the Prairie Rivers appeal. We had at least as
- 16 much writing on it as the applicant in financial terms. The
- 17 mineral leasing acting provides that federal coal and minerals
- 18 have all the same rights and standings as the owner of the
- 19 surface property or fee simple property.
- Therefore, I would like to propose, and this may or may not
- 21 be in connection with these proposed rule changes, that the owner
- 22 of leased coal minerals, oil and gas subject to draft -- any
- 23 draft permit that's disputed should automatically be granted in
- 24 standing appeals.

- 1 We had already mapped a path if the outcome had been any
- 2 different than it had been for a federal appeals through the
- 3 federal courts along the lines of Telesol (phonetic) versus Road
- 4 Island, because what we had would have been serious regulatory
- 5 taking amounting in the 10s of millions of dollars for our
- 6 company. Those are the extent of my comments. Thank you.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Thank you. Are there any
- 8 questions? Thank you very much. Next we have Mr. Miller from
- 9 the Prairie Rivers Network.
- MR. MILLER: At this point I'm interested in making a
- 11 public comment and not testimony. I would be willing to make
- 12 time appropriate.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: All right. Margaret Mitchell?
- MS. MITCHELL: The same for me.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: And Wendy Butler?
- MS. BUTLER: The same.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Is there anyone else wishing to
- 18 testify?
- 19 MR. HARSCH: I have question. Are these organizations in
- 20 which they're speaking are they proponents in this?
- 21 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: But are you speaking on behalf of
- 22 your organization or are you speaking on your own behalf?
- 23 MR. HARSCH: I would be speaking on my organization.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: So you will be speaking on behalf

- 1 of the organization?
- 2 MR. HARSCH: Yes.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Go ahead.
- 4 MR. HARSH: Who are the proponents of this proceeding?
- 5 MR. ETTINGER: Prairie River is Illinois -- I don't believe
- 6 is --
- 7 MR. HARSCH: I thought you identified him earlier.
- 8 MR. ETTINGER: I'm sorry. I should know my clients. You
- 9 know, frankly I have this problem. Somebody had a copy of the --
- 10 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: I have the -- I'm sorry, the
- 11 Statement of Reasons before me list the Environmental Law and
- 12 Policy, Sierra Club, Prairie Rivers Network and 225 individuals.
- 13 MR. ETTINGER: Right. Thank you.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: You're welcome.
- 15 MR. ETTINGER: So the only groups are Sierra Club, Prairie
- 16 Rivers Network and the guys that -- the last of the petitioners
- 17 is ELPC, Environmental Law and Policy.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Mr. Harsch?
- 19 MR. HARSCH: It would seem to me that the proponent should
- 20 testify rather than make a comment.
- 21 MR. ETTINGER: Well, actually the proponents did testify at
- 22 the last hearing. Mark, I don't -- You can either testify or
- 23 maybe we'll just drop it. What do you want to?
- 24 MR. MILLER: Well, it seems to be an issue. I'll decline

- 1 to make a comment.
- 2 MR. ETTINGER: Beth was here for Prairie Rivers at the
- 3 first hearing and didn't testify.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: That's correct. Mr. Miller could
- 5 make a statement on behalf of himself, I think.
- 6 MR. HARSCH: That would be fine.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Would you like to do that?
- 8 MR. MILLER: I will do that.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Do you have any objections to the
- 10 others? Mr. Miller, this is not sworn testimony. This is on
- 11 behalf of Mr. Miller himself and will not be subject to
- 12 cross-examination.
- 13 MR. MILLER: Thank you, Board. I have been working for the
- 14 proponent for four years, and as a person I would say the
- 15 observation about the Clean Water Act and participation is that
- 16 it's critical to meet the goals of Clean Water Act. Those goals
- 17 are clean, healthy, fishable, unchemicaled waters. Often our
- 18 members, members of the public, who live in an area of proposed
- 19 or expanded discharge have raised issues and observations.
- 20 Those observations are critical for the Illinois EPA to
- 21 hear because they cannot be present to be knowing of what the
- 22 conditions are in a water pod, stream, lake, whatever. The
- 23 proposed rules will ensure that the public will have a fair
- 24 opportunity for involvement. The public should also fully

- 1 understand how they can participate as members of the public.
- 2 This is something that is difficult to do without -- without some
- 3 guidance. And all the information that is necessary to ensure
- 4 that the permit is protective of water quality in their local
- 5 stream or water bodies is also difficult to ascertain without
- 6 some type of stated information. This is what we believe that
- 7 the proponents are seeking.
- 8 I'd like to add that behind the first three rows of
- 9 professional suits there are some interesting individuals who
- 10 might find this fairly intimidating to come up and make a public
- 11 comment. There are a number of people who are interested in
- 12 becoming involved and making sure that their waters are clean and
- 13 healthy to use for drinking water, to fish or swim in, to paddle
- 14 or canoe. It is difficult for them to attend a time of day like
- 15 this a subtle -- a subtle change of the proceedings but makes it
- 16 difficult for normal people to voice the opinions. And I really
- 17 appreciate the opportunity that I have to be here to represent
- 18 some of those people. Thank you very much.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Thank you. Ms. Mitchell.
- 20 MS. MITCHELL: Margaret Mitchell. I want to thank you for
- 21 the opportunity to speak. As a citizen, American citizen, born
- 22 here in the state of Illinois, living in the state of Illinois,
- 23 I'm proud of my state. And we heard in this room today that
- 24 public influence makes a difference and kind of what we're

- 1 talking about here. And how it makes a difference one way or the
- 2 other, who wins, loses, whatever in cases of public hearings,
- 3 it's important that the public is heard. We do make a
- 4 difference, but we need a channel and opportunity to speak. And
- 5 thank you for letting me speak today.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Thank you. Ms. Butler; is that
- 7 correct, B-U-T-L-E-R?
- 8 MS. BUTLER: Yes. I'm Wendy Butler and I'm with Illinois
- 9 Environmental counsel. I just wanted to make a statement that
- 10 our organization places great importance on public participation
- 11 in the permit process, and we do believe that public
- 12 participation is essential to open -- to an open process which
- 13 provides critical information to the public and allows the
- 14 response concerned in affected citizens to respond to proposed
- 15 permit actions.
- 16 THE COURT: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like
- 17 to make a comment on the record. Mr. Callahan?
- 18 MR. CALLAHAN: Well, I've been in involved in this business
- 19 for approximately 30 years. And as Toby and I indicated, this
- 20 morning he and I jointly have seen quite an improvement in the
- 21 water quality of -- of our state.
- One of the things that I would certainly want to dispel
- 23 here this morning is the fact that there is any reservation about
- 24 involvement of public in these proceedings. As example, on

- 1 behalf of myself representing the counties of Bloomington and
- 2 Normal, I get no pay bonus and I get no annual benefit. I get no
- 3 compensation whatsoever over minimizing the extent of our
- 4 regulation in terms of bringing in the plant without any
- 5 compliance problems. I work for the public in my community and I
- 6 think that's an issue that is frequently mentioned in proceedings
- 7 such as this.
- 8 The people that I represent are the public. There are many
- 9 venues for this public to comment, not the least of which is
- 10 frequently overlooked. At this level is the fact that we have
- 11 monthly Board meetings in our community. There is an opportunity
- 12 for concerned people in the area to appear and express their
- 13 concern to our Board members appointed which there's probably an
- 14 opportunity to get a lot more done with a great deal less
- 15 litigation than there is in this venue.
- 16 What we're concerned about is a streamlined permit issuing
- 17 procedure whereby we protect the waters of the State as we're
- 18 required but that we're not needlessly wasting Agency personnel
- 19 time for hearings that may or may not be appropriate under a
- 20 given issue.
- 21 As I sat here this morning and questioned, I didn't get a
- 22 set of criteria that the Agency is using to determining whether
- 23 or not a request is valid, and I only heard of one hearing
- 24 request that had been turned down and that had been turned into a

- 1 public hearing. It's an issue of public money, of cost and of
- 2 expediency in doing our business, and I share concerns with the
- 3 gentleman from the coal company. What's your name, sir?
- 4 MR. KEADY: Keady.
- 5 MR. CALLAHAN: Of additional agenda that may not be
- 6 associated with some of these hearing requests. That's basically
- 7 the extent of the comments I have.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Thank you, Mr. Callahan. If we
- 9 could go off the record for just a second.
- 10 (A discussion was held off the record.)
- 11 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: I want to thank everyone for
- 12 attending today. As you know there's a pending motion for the
- 13 Pollution Control Board to set a third hearing, so at this time I
- 14 would ask that within the next week if all interested parties
- 15 submit to me either by E-mail or phone or personally a list of
- 16 potential days for a third hearing, if the Board orders a third
- 17 hearing, or in the alternative potential dates for closing of a
- 18 comment period in this rulemaking. Mr. Harsch?
- MR. HARSCH: I have a question. What type of advance
- 20 notice length of time would you be required in your hearing
- 21 officer order?
- 22 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: We'll need at least 30 days to
- 23 notice the hearing. Actually a little bit more than that. We
- 24 will have to publish, 45 days. 45 days before we can at best

weeks before we rule on it. Anything further? MR. GIRARD: I just like to thank everyone for all the time and effort that they vested in this rulemaking proceeding so far and the Board does appreciate that and we will look at everything very carefully and look forward to seeing where we go from here. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you very much. I appreciate your time and, as I say, you're welcome to use this room for as long as you need. Thank you very much. We're off the record. 

properly schedule a hearing after that meeting so at least two

STATE OF ILLINOIS
COUNTY OF FAYETTE

## CERTIFICATE

I, BEVERLY S. HOPKINS, a Notary Public in and for the County of Fayette, State of Illinois, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing 69 pages comprise a true, complete and correct transcript of the proceedings held on the 2nd of April, A.D., 2003, at the Illinois Pollution Control Board, 600 South Second Street, Suite 403, Springfield, Illinois, Illinois, In The Matter of: Proposed Amendments to: Public Participation Rules in 35 ILL. Adm. Code Part 309 NPDES Permits and Permitting Procedures, in proceedings held before Hearing Officer Marie Tipsord, and recorded in machine shorthand by me.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed by Notarial Seal this 8th day of April, A.D., 2003.

OFFICIAL SEAL

BEVERLY S HOPKINS

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:01/29/05

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:01/29/05

Buery & Hopkins

Beverly S. Hopkins Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter

CSR License No. 084-004316

KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY

<b>A</b>	54:4 65:8	agency 10:12,15	amend 14:3 33:6	29:10	assistance 34:23
ability 60:5	adding 22:11	11:7,8 13:10	amendment 9:11	appeared 58:8	assistant 3:13
	23:6 55:16	15:23 16:11,12	56:22	59:20	associate 51:10
able 4:23 46:21	addition 3:11,12	17:2,5,21 20:10	amendments 1:6	appearing 47:6	associated 68:6
abolish 23:23	5:6 6:18 36:3	20:20 21:16	3:4 25:12 58:4	appears 6:15	association 3:20
abolished 12:10	39:21 49:17	23:9,15,18	70:12	11:23	5:18,20 15:9,11
about 9:10,20,20	additional 12:19	25:18 26:7,12	American 65:21	apple 38:19	26:1,3 32:10
9:24 10:5 11:10	13:16 17:1	29:2,10,20 31:9	amicus 6:14,23	54:23	53:19,20
11:13 12:2,3	22:11 24:15	31:16,23 32:18	ammunition 14:7	applicable 10:9	assume 9:13
13:9 19:12,14 21:19 22:15	30:5 37:12.18	34:2,15 36:4,9	amount 36:21	10:10 28:18	attach 7:17 14:3
23:4 31:20	37:18 38:7	38:2 39:3,18	55:3	37:23 48:19	attached 7:8,9
38:18,18,22	40:11 54:6,6,7	40:5,7,22,23	amounting 62:5	applicant 13:16	13:18 14:2,2
44:1,12 47:6	54:23 55:17	45:2 46:11,12	amounts 54:7	14:1 21:19	28:7
50:17 54:3,7	68:5	46:13 47:13,14	analysis 44:23	60:20 61:16	attack 59:21
58:17,18,19	address 3:17	48:21 49:3 53:8	45:10	applicants 13:10	attempt 8:16
59:7 60:11,11	24:22 27:13	53:20 54:12,14	Andes 2:10,18,22	14:11	33:10,15 54:12
60:12 64:15	addressable	54:15,18 55:19	4:16 14:16,23	application	57:24
66:1,23 67:16	40:24	56:9,15 60:2,21	15:3,6,8,8 24:4	13:19 18:17	attempting 48:22
Absolutely 49:24	addressed 23:3,5	67:18,22	24:11,24 45:20	22:1,6 28:21	54:19
acceptable 16:1	24:13 29:12	Agency's 25:11	45:22 47:8 49:2	29:7 44:4 57:20	attempts 26:13
51:18	36:11	30:21,24	49:9	applications 44:7	attend 65:14
accomplish	adequate 15:13	agenda 60:7,14	and-a-half 48:7	applied 20:15	attending 68:12
41:22	34:9	60:15 68:5	animal 31:5	21:16 30:23	attention 44:21
accomplishes	Adm 1:8 3:4	ago 43:21 44:6	annual 28:7,8	apply 37:22	59:14
25:20	70:13	44:20	29:3 67:2	applying 30:9	attorney 29:10
accordance 8:21	administered	agree 22:17 43:5	another 32:3	appointed 3:2	attorneys 32:15
61:3	34:4	43:5 48:21	37:21 38:14,19	67:13	August 56:11
according 16:24	administrative	56:22	44:3 47:2 57:24	appreciate 5:16	authority 32:2
accrue 37:12	11:11,22 24:9	agreed 54:12	answer 46:2	65:17 69:5,8	authorized 41:15
accurate 35:22	51:17	agriculture 59:3	anti-degradation	approach 39:12	automatically
accused 58:20	admirable 55:8	59:16,18	45:14	61:7,7	35:14 42:2
acetonic 61:7	admissible 8:2	ahead 6:10 23:22	anxious 11:15	appropriate 21:4	61:23
acid 58:3 60:12	admit 14:21 15:3	28:10,15 29:1	anybody 7:6 34:5	25:18 32:3,7	available 44:9
acknowledge	25:7 28:11,13	53:14 60:1 63:3	50:5	39:19 40:15	49:5,8
4:19	admitted 6:9	air 27:5,9,23	anyone 4:9,18	62:12 67:19	avoid 45:3,12
acknowledged	13:4 15:4	42:20 58:4	5:22 14:15 59:7	approved 8:13	aware 8:21 12:20
4:20	adopted 8:22	Al 11:14	62:17 66:16	20:8	22:8 33:24 34:5
acquired 58:3	52:14 56:10	Albert 2:11 9:6	Anything 24:23	approximately	34:10 41:10
acre 57:20	adoption 28:18	Albert's 32:10	37:2 45:19 47:9	27:2,10 36:2	A.D 70:9,17
act 10:15 23:9,10	advance 9:17	42:10	49:21 53:10	42:19 43:21	a.m 1:13
30:18,19 37:9	68:19	allegation 34:13	56:24 69:2	44:6 66:19	A5 46:1,6,7
40:16 42:13	adversely 60:5	alleged 34:5	anyway 45:3	April 1:13 70:9	A6 46:5
43:1 58:4 64:15	advocate 7:3	allow 4:8,10	apparent 57:14	70:17	
64:16	affect 17:6,7	35:15,16 46:16	appeal 6:16 8:19	area 55:15 64:18	<u>B</u>
acting 61:17	46:11 60:5	47:15 52:21	10:3,4 12:13,16	67:12	back 27:10,21,23
action 39:20	affected 66:14	allowed 7:1 13:7	12:21 13:14	areas 22:24	32:2 38:17 42:9
41:16 47:1	affixed 70:16	39:18 49:22	16:13 17:7,10	23:10,14 25:14	50:8,18 56:1
actions 66:15	affluence 45:8	allows 4:10	17:11,12 19:3,6	argue 59:7	backlog 43:20
active 27:17	affluent 29:20,24	28:21 29:7	19:8 20:18	argument 20:19	44:18 45:6
activities 42:23	30:4,11,13 31:4 afforded 43:6	66:13	38:16,21 58:23	20:21,22	backlogged
47:6		along 42:23 45:2	61:15	arise 60:22 61:6 around 39:4	43:23
actual 19:10	after 4:19 14:11	60:24 62:3	appeals 13:6		bad 44:22 56:20
actually 11:15	26:10 49:7 69:1	already 9:24	16:7,10 17:5	43:10 50:5 51:7	59:11 60:10
13:5 18:6 29:21	again 33:5 38:1,8	22:12 23:15,18	18:22,24 19:5	ascertain 65:5	ball 38:21
29:22 31:7 32:4	38:17 39:17 58:23	49:23 62:1 alternative 68:17	24:10 60:14	asked 4:24	Barnes 15:9
32:13 35:19	58:23		61:24 62:2	asking 43:2	based 16:10,13
41:22 47:5	against 10:8	Although 41:4 Alton 52:12	appear 7:11 8:16 43:5 55:17	asks 23:14	18:10,11,22,24 20:19 24:20
63:21 68:23	agencies 5:20 26:2 31:18	always 23:24	43:5 55:17 67:12	aspects 31:6 assessment 43:2	20:19 24:20 50:10
	40i4 31i10	a:way5	0/:12	a556551116Nt 43:Z	3Ui 1U
add 17:1 22:12 22:13,14 23:17	32:10 60:18	ambiguous 24:20	appearance	assigned 3:8,10	basic 41:7

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
basically 50:8	26:13 30:3	bureaucratic	chairman 3:12,13	codable 52:3	complexity 15:15
56:13 68:6	60:18,20	54:5,21	57:13	Code 1:8 3:5	compliance 10:5
basis 7:21 8:7	Beverly 1:20 70:6	business 15:17	challenged 37:19	11:11,22 70:13	37:9 38:2 43:11
17:1 18:11 20:6	70:20	17:20 50:5 52:2	challenging 17:2	collection 52:2,4	43:14,19 47:20
20:7 29:3,3	bias 5:2	52:5 58:21	chance 50:19	come 16:12	47:22 49:4 67:5
37:18	bigger 45:17	61:11 66:18	change 12:23	27:20 38:6 45:2	comply 10:6 31:1
battle 14:6	biggest 44:18	68:2	19:6 30:4 31:7	51:7 54:19	39:22 48:22
Beauty 6:14,20	bill 36:2,6,11	businesses 17:18	31:24 33:8	65:10	58:3
12:5 13:18	billion 57:19	Butler 2:14 62:15	37:20 45:24	comes 7:22 14:4	complying 10:8
57:16 59:21	bit 32:20 35:16	62:16 66:6,8,8	46:21 54:1	36:22	component 43:8
become 11:3	52:21 68:23	B-U-T-L-E-R 66:7	59:17 65:15	comfort 34:8	48:13
becoming 65:12	bite 38:19 54:23		changed 18:3	coming 16:22	comprehensive
before 1:1,15 2:2	Black 6:14,20	СС	31:4	55:1	44:20 45:8,14
3:16,18,24 4:21	12:5 13:18	C 2:1,7 33:22	changes 5:14	comment 8:14	comprise 70:8
12:5 28:10	57:16 59:21	37:6 40:12	6:15 12:18 13:8	16:18,20 19:7	concentrated
29:21 42:17,19	Bloomington	45:21 47:10	19:2 21:1 24:14	27:12 34:23	31:5
44:22 48:14	67:1	70:4,4	29:21 30:14,14	36:17 38:4	concept 16:22
63:11 68:24	board 1:1,14 2:3	cadre 45:16	33:20 60:13	41:21 43:2	40:6
69:2 70:14	2:5 3:2,7,8,9,11	Cairo 51:13	61:4,21	47:15 50:4	concern 16:7,9
begin 3:16 4:21	3:18,24 4:3,5	call 26:2 40:18	changing 18:2	51:20,21,22,23	17:2,17 24:14
6:1	4:24 5:2,8 9:24	Callahan 40:13	channel 66:4	52:20,22 53:3	24:22 51:18
behalf 15:8 32:9	10:11 12:5,12	40:14,21 42:8	characterize	54:9,23 59:23	52:5 67:13
46:19 62:21,22	12:15,20,24	66:17,18 68:5,8	45:2	61:5 62:11	concerned 16:15
62:24 64:5,11	16:13 17:19	called 6:5 14:24	characterized	63:20 64:1	18:8 19:14
67:1	18:6 21:7 26:7	25:4 29:17 51:2	50:11	65:11 66:17	22:15 54:3,7
behind 65:8	26:17 29:23	53:17 57:10	checked 43:21	67:9 68:18	66:14 67:12,16
being 7:22 17:24	31:8 40:8 49:13	came 27:10,23	44:6	comments 3:19	concerning 46:1
20:10,15 21:21	56:10 61:15	41:14 52:12	Chicago 51:14	5:17 7:20 35:15	46:10
23:3 41:15	64:13 67:11,13	campaign 59:20	chief 1:16 57:13	35:16 36:13	concerns 15:14
52:13 58:20	68:13,16 69:5	canoe 65:14	Cipriano 28:6	37:11 38:3 41:1	15:21 19:9,13
59:14 60:1,8	70:10	capability 58:11	circumstances	41:13 49:12	23:3 24:12 68:2
belaboring 60:13	board's 4:14 5:1	capacity 58:17	15:17	51:15,16 55:9	concludes 52:22
belief 19:22	bodies 60:19	Carbide 57:14,22	citizen 65:21,21	55:13 60:16	conclusion 24:5
20:18 21:24	65:5	career 13:20	citizens 66:14	61:2,3 62:6	condition 17:16
believe 3:13 10:1	body 58:1	27:9	claim 16:6,14	68:7	22:12 46:24
10:10,14,24	bogged 7:4 bonus 67:2	carefully 69:6	Claims 16:11	commitments 29:2	47:2
15:13 18:8,9 19:18 20:3	bonus 67:2 books 31:8	carried 54:1 case 6:14,20	clarification 42:6 clarify 30:17		conditions 9:18 37:19,20 64:22
21:13 22:2,10	books 31:6 born 65:21	10:20 13:18	clarity 30:17	communication 34:14,19 45:1	conduct 10:11
•	both 35:8 38:3	16:20 17:13	19:1	communities	conduct 10:11
22:11,20 25:17 27:22,23 31:22	45:7 58:2	21:1,10 22:6		51:13	conference 26:2
32:2 35:8 36:11	boundaries 55:18	23:8 29:13	clean 7:6 37:9 40:16 42:12	community 31:11	conflicts 26:6
40:14 46:10,18	bowl 13:21	41:15,20	43:1 58:4 64:15	31:17 67:5,11	confrontation
46:23 50:2	break 5:10 50:18	cases 9:20 11:5	64:16,17 65:12	companies 15:18	25:12
51:17 52:8 55:7	50:20	41:6 66:2	clear 11:4 21:15	24:19	confuses 24:18
59:2 60:20 63:5	brief 53:19	catchall 11:23	21:15 25:14	company 1:22,24	conjures 50:6
65:6 66:11	briefly 15:11	categorical 30:4	clearly 8:18	2:26 5:18,19	connection
believes 15:11	bring 28:2 38:9	45:9	38:23	6:13,21 37:5	61:21
20:23 21:1,4	bring 20.2 00.0 bringing 67:4	cause 10:3,4	client 8:24	50:22 51:9,11	Connie 29:9,16
53:24	broad 18:24	center 28:17	clients 63:8	57:6,13,14,15	40:15
belittle 44:17	brought 20:18,22	certain 7:14,15	close 14:6	57:16,18 61:14	consider 5:14
Belleville 1:23	27:24 28:1	22:12 53:7	closing 68:17	62:6 68:3 70:24	consideration
benefit 23:6	budget 55:1	certainly 7:5	Club 63:12,15	compared 43:13	7:1 12:12
37:12 38:6 67:2	build 5:1 52:6	19:11 28:23	coal 3:19 5:18,18	compensation	considered 6:19
best 37:23 49:18	building 18:1	29:8 31:14,15	6:13,21,22,24	67:3	12:9 13:3
68:24	burden 8:17,19	32:6 43:8 47:3	6:24 12:5 15:9	complete 5:1	considering
Beth 64:2	19:8 54:1	66:22	26:3 37:5 57:6	49:11 70:8	40:15
better 27:7 29:12	burdens 54:21,21	Certified 70:21	57:13,15,16,19	completely 8:9	consistent 10:12
Į.	·		58:1 60:10	8:17 12:22	19:16 20:5,11
40:8	burdensome	CERTIFY 70:7	30:100:10		
40:8 between 25:15	41:17,20	CFR 46:8	61:17,22 68:3	complex 44:20	20:13,15,24

				<u> </u>	
21:9 22:1,9	couple 3:16 16:6	decide 37:16	developed 58:3	disobeying 13:1	42:21 60:16
30:1 31:17	26:23 28:9 33:4	decides 47:13	developer 60:6	dispel 66:22	
33:19 34:9	55:24	decision 5:1 6:16	developers 57:23	disputed 60:1,8	Ε
consistently	court 4:22 6:18	8:8 38:12	development	61:23	E 2:1,1,7,16 9:4
10:16 23:9,11	32:15 66:16	decline 63:24	58:9	distance 25:15	16:4 26:21
constant 60:13	69:8	deficiencies 34:6	deviate 8:23	distances 25:17	33:22 37:6
constituents	courts 62:3	34:10	de-delegation	distinction 30:3	40:12 45:21
44:13	covered 23:10	deficiency 34:1	21:6,20	35:17	47:10,10 52:24
Constitution 9:11	44:2,2,3	34:12	die-hard 24:8	distributing	55:22 70:4,4
construction	create 8:3,6	define 9:17 50:7	differ 48:20	59:12	each 4:22 35:7
47:6 48:20	10:23 15:17	defined 38:23	difference 8:4	distribution 52:3	earlier 33:5
constructive	credible 42:5	52:7	26:13 65:24	District 48:7	42:10 63:7
26:11	crisis 55:2	definitional 31:7	66:1,4	districts 53:21	early 13:20 14:7
construe 13:11	criteria 40:21	definitive 51:19	differences	Division 27:22	27:7,16 31:3
48:16	67:22	51:21 52:19	26:14,15,16	Docket 3:6	easier 59:11
construed 20:11	critical 64:16,20	degree 26:12	different 9:19	document 28:24	effect 46:19
consultation 49:11	66:13	34:7 35:1,3,9	50:6,6 62:2	29:1,6	effective 24:6
1	cross-examina	delay 24:9 54:6	difficult 50:13	documentation	efficient 7:3
contact 60:18,20	64:12	59:24	65:2,5,14,16	47:24	efficiently 14:8
contacts 60:23	CSO 49:3	delays 15:17	digest 38:10	documents 7:7	effort 45:15 58:7
context 10:21	CSR 1:20,20	delegated 28:20	direct 28:21 29:7	7:17 13:10	69:4
26:9 36:9	70:23	31:3	33:16 40:17	60:22	efforts 5:16
26:9 36:9 continued 36:8	cubic 57:19 cures 55:19	delegates 28:18 delegation 20:2	direction 25:22 61:4	doing 11:7,8 15:23 42:19	either 17:24
continued 36:0		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7 - 7 '		34:14 36:23
59:19	current 5:11 15:11 16:19	22:2 31:1	directive 23:5	45:13 54:16	38:12 44:4
contributors		deleted 7:21	directly 30:22 34:14	68:2	63:22 68:15
59:16	18:16 23:8 28:21 29:6	demand 44:21 58:23	34:14 director 35:2	dollars 6:22 36:2 36:3,9 55:4	eliminate 26:15 36:8 48:1 54:20
control 1:1,14	30:23 40:16	demands 54:6	40:18 41:8	62:5	54:22
2:3,5 10:11	46:11,11 53:5	denied 24:21	disagree 59:8	domestic 52:4	eliminated 43:9
21:7 42:16	56:3,4,17	61:14	disagreement	done 9:1 23:16	ELPC 63:17
61:15 68:13	currently 4:2	depends 35:10	25:15	32:4 43:3 47:19	emissions 59:15
70:10	19:18,22 35:13	35:11 47:17	disagreements	49:15 55:8	emotional 7:12
controls 8:20	35:18 36:4	deposeth 6:6	26:6	67:14	7:13
controversial	40:21 43:20	15:1 25:5 29:18	disappointed	Doris 2:7 3:9	enabling 8:22
41:3	48:21	51:3 53:18	58:6	down 7:4 15:16	enacted 55:3
copies 5:10	customers 51:12	57:11	discharge 17:11	18:4 19:11 45:1	end 17:24 18:3
28:12,12,15	51:13 61:12	deposition 2:24	17:23 18:2	55:13 67:24	51:19,21 59:1
сору 63:9	cutting 42:24	derives 30:7 47:5	52:11 60:1	downstate 7:22	End-of-vear
corporate 51:10		describe 11:11	64:19	Dr 3:7,11 5:12	28:19
correct 11:9 15:5	D	description	discharger 46:15	draft 7:9,9 37:8	energy 58:12
15:6 17:9 31:22	D 2:12,16	31:11	dischargers 55:6	38:2 54:8,16,20	enforcement
39:20 40:19,20	danger 18:5	design 47:5,21	discourage 15:18	54:22 55:12,18	42:24
42:18 46:12,15	data 60:4	47:23	discouraged	55:20 56:4 61:1	engineering 51:9
64:4 66:7 70:8	date 3:21 18:23	designs 47:24	61:13	61:22,23	engineers 32:15
correctly 48:24	46:24 47:22	desirable 52:18	discouraging	drafted 56:7	44:24
59:18	dated 28:6	desire 26:9 49:16	17:18	drafting 22:10	enough 11:2 18:3
cost 32:14,21	dates 68:17	detail 25:12	discretion 35:5	drafts 11:24	26:16
54:7 59:24 68:1	day 65:14 70:17	46:13 51:15	discretionary	drag 49:16	ensure 64:23
costly 15:17	days 4:5 14:11	details 48:1	41:8	drinking 52:3	65:3
cost-effective	27:7 51:22,24	determination	discuss 15:21	65:13	enter 6:8 51:5
59:12	53:4 68:16,22	21:3 35:2	59:24	driven 58:24	enters 23:7
counsel 34:7	68:24,24	determine 20:12	discussed 21:11	drop 13:5 59:20	entities 44:1,3
51:11 66:9	deal 26:8,17	45:10	32:17	63:23	60:21
counties 67:1	41:22 58:6	determined 4:14	discussion 14:19	due 41:1	entitled 3:3
counting 44:3	67:14	31:1	35:19 40:15	duly 2:15 6:5	environmental
country-type	dealing 30:2	determining	57:3 68:10	14:24 25:4	4:1 10:12 20:10
7:23	deals 17:15	67:22	discussions	29:17 51:2	26:1 30:17,19
county 32:17	dealt 22:6	deterred 41:16	34:17	53:17 57:10	34:1 54:11 56:8
58:11 70:2,7	December 28:6	develop 48:8	disobey 12:24	during 17:7 42:12	57:24 58:7

	[	1			T
63:11,17 66:9	28:13,14 51:5,6	familiar 18:16	first 3:18 4:15	from 4:4 8:23	gives 10:3,3 18:9
environmentally	53:13,14	29:11 30:6	6:5 14:21,24	13:16 15:18,22	30:13 31:12
58:24	Exhibits 2:24	35:21 36:19	25:4 29:17 30:6	17:18 21:21	58:15
envision 16:12	existed 60:18	family 50:12	32:1 39:21	23:13 27:9,9	giobal 60:11
EPA 5:19 15:22	existing 10:14	famous 6:12	40:14 42:12,21	28:6 29:24	go 6:10 14:1 <b>7</b>
20:16 29:3	22:8 53:8,8	far 69:4	43:13 50:1 51:2	31:24 34:1,12	17:20 18:1
64:20	expand 24:19	fashion 42:6	53:17 57:10	34:13,14 36:14	23:22 28:10,15
equal 58:18	33:20,21	favor 9:12 37:21	64:3 65:8	36:14,19,22	32:2,23 33:1,14
Erin 28:11	expanded 45:13	favorable 37:11	fish 65:13	37:12 38:3,6,14	35:14 38:17,21
essential 66:12	64:19	61:4	fishable 64:17	41:14 46:4	38:21 41:19
essentially 26:18	expanding 15:18	Fayette 70:2,7	fit 58:5	51:13 52:11	48:7,12 53:14
29:19 42:13	18:2	fear 50:12	five 27:15,15	55:19 58:12	57:1 60:1 63:3
52:22 53:24	expediency 68:2	February 27:22	42:11	60:22 61:5 62:8	68:9 69:6
54:9,22 58:8	expending 58:6	federal 10:5,6,8	fold 29:20,23	68:3 69:6	goal 7:6
estimate 35:24	expense 32:15	10:12,16 11:4,9	30:13	full 45:3	goals 64:16,16
Ettinger 2:11 9:5	experiencing	19:16,19,24	folded 29:22	fully 26:13 64:24	goes 23:18 42:9
9:6 11:21 14:14	54:14	20:5,6,9,11,13	follow 42:6	function 45:6	going 10:7 13:16
14:17 16:5 24:2	explain 33:24	20:19,24 21:4	Following 32:10	47:4	17:18 21:6
26:22 27:24	38:11	21:10,17 22:1,7	33:5	functional 39:21	26:11 27:24
28:5,16 30:8,21	express 5:2 67:12	22:9,17,18,19	follows 6:6 15:1	functioned 27:11	40:7 48:1 50:21
31:10 47:11	1	23:6,9,11,14	25:5 29:18 51:3	funded 39:3 60:3	61:6,9,12,13
49:1 53:1,9 55:23 56:14,22	extended 40:18 41:21 52:21	28:21 29:4,7,21 30:22 31:2,2	53:18 57:11 follow-up 41:9,20	funding 42:23 further 24:2.23	good 3:1 5:13 55:5
63:5,8,13,15,21	53:3	33:19,20 34:9	45:11 46:17	37:1 47:13.16	95:5 gotten 27:7
64:2	extensive 42:23	34:20 35:8,12	49:2	53:10 56:24	government 21:4
evaluations	extensively	35:15 37:9	foregoing 70:8	61:5 69:2	60:19,21
38:11	42:17	40:16 45:7,9	form 38:1 39:8	future 19:5 47:6	grab 20:17
even 17:24 18:1	extent 20:14	46:4 48:17	formulate 19:23	47:22	grand 31:1
24:21	26:15 27:11	61:17 62:2,3	forward 5:20	FY-2002 31:13	grant 3:22 16:12
ever 45:17	35:11 37:15,20	fee 36:1 61:19	15:24 17:19,20	FY02 28:8	28:9 40:22
every 23:8 42:3	38:12 41:14	feeding 31:5	18:1 34:15	F-R-E-D 6:11	granted 3:20
everybody 38:23	60:4,7 62:6	feel 9:10 32:7	54:18 69:6		16:14 32:14
61:10	67:3 68:7	33:8 34:8	found 20:9	G	39:15 61:23
everybody's 40:8	external 41:23	feels 56:15	foundation 39:5	G 2:6	granting 28:22
everyone 4:4	extra 22:22	fees 32:15 55:3	four 32:16 44:6	gas 57:20 59:5	grants 29:4
16:1 28:12,15	E-mail 68:15	feet 57:19,20	57:4 58:18	61:22	grass 42:23
50:18 68:11		felt 31:24 38:12	64:14	gather 32:20,21	great 34:7 41:13
69:3	<b>F</b>	few 32:4,5	fourth 4:16 9:10	33:2	44:23 58:6
everything 8:1	F 70:4	fifth 4:16	56:22	general 8:20	66:10 67:14
69:5	face-to-face	fighting 61:11	fraction 59:6,7	42:15 43:2 44:3	greatly 43:17
evidence 12:8,16	25:24	figure 55:4	59:14	generally 51:17	ground 18:9 56:1
12:19,21 13:24	facilities 52:7,17 facility 18:2 52:7	figuring 23:4	frankly 63:9	generate 52:7	grounds 18:10
ex 60:17,20 exact 46:8	52:11,12	file 3:21 21:5 29:10 49:5,13	Fred 2:12 6:11 15:8	59:6	19:3 group 4:1 26:1
Exactly 17:17	fact 12:9 13:2	60:23	Frederick 2:12	generated 35:24 36:6	60:7
example 33:7	17:16 18:7 30:1	filed 3:21 4:1	2:18,21 6:4	generating 59:4	groups 49:11
38:1,8 41:10	40:24 45:17	5:16 6:17,18	37:4	genisis 55:16	63:15
49:3 52:10	49:7 52:9 54:2	56:8	Fredric 2:10,18	gentleman 50:1	growth 55:15
66:24	54:15 55:14	files 32:23	2:22 4:15 14:16	68:3	57:16 58:1
exceeds 7:15	56:18 58:5 60:3	filings 5:6 13:16	14:23 15:3 57:5	gets 39:15	guess 16:6,6
except 44:22	66:23 67:10	final 55:20 60:5	57:9,12	Girard 2:6 3:7	37:14 51:18
excess 6:21	fair 8:10 9:21,23	finalized 48:14	Fredrick 4:15	5:12,13 49:10	guidance 65:3
57:18	9:24 13:9 14:8	financial 61:16	frequently 50:9	49:20 69:3	guys 9:13 63:16
excuse 14:17	16:17 19:1,6,7	financing 60:4	50:11 67:6,10	Girard's 3:11	- <del>-</del>
30:16 39:7	34:20 39:1	61:12	fresh 57:20	give 10:4 18:10	н
executive 57:13	64:23	find 26:5 46:18	Frevert 2:11,19	19:3 33:17	half 39:15 42:4
exempt 61:5	fairly 24:20 32:22	65:10	2:22 4:16 25:1	41:10 43:14	hand 4:19 60:5
exhausted 61:10	52:2 65:10	fine 42:21 64:6	25:3,10 41:9	58:16	70:16
exhibit 6:8,9 15:3	fairness 9:22	finish 43:24	61:1	given 7:1 20:2	hands 46:23
25:7,8 28:2,11	16:8,10,22	firm 15:9	Frevert's 25:7	57:23 67:20	happen 40:6

				7	<del>,                                      </del>
52:14,21	holder 60:1	Illinois-American	50:12	56:3 60:21	69:3
happens 13:22	holding 16:15	5:19 50:22 51:9	industry 43:18	65:12 66:18	
13:22 17:4	17:18 35:3	51:11	influence 38:14	involvement	K
hard 18:1 19:10	home 38:21	imagine 21:15	65:24	27:19 42:11	Karpiel 2:7 3:9
hardware 47:21	hone 49:17	immediate 21:20	information	55:9,10 64:24	23:7
harm 22:21	honestly 28:23	35:20	14:11 32:19,21	66:24	Keady 2:12 37:4
Harsch 2:10,20	46:7	implement 38:4,4	32:21,22 33:3,3	involves 52:2	37:4,7 39:10,11
2:23 3:22 4:17	honor 42:5	60:6	37:18 38:10	involving 49:12	40:10 57:5,9,12
32:9,9 33:5,12	honoring 41:15	implemented	47:21 49:8 65:3	Iron 57:14,22	57:12 68:4, <b>4</b>
33:15,23 35:18	hope 47:3	39:11	65:6 66:13	irrespective 21:3	KEEFE 1:22,24
37:1 53:11,16	hopeful 55:20	importance	initial 6:12 51:22	Island 62:4	2:26 70:24
53:19 56:2,18	hopefully 49:19	66:10	54:16	issuance 5:15	keep 14:7 32:22
56:21 57:1	54:20 58:24	important 17:12	inserting 46:20	16:8 46:24 54:5	kind 13:20 16:18
62:19,23 63:2,7	hoping 55:18	66:3	inside 5:11	54:14 55:14	16:22 33:8,17
63:18,19 64:6	Hopkins 1:20	imposed 7:10	inspection 49:8	issue 16:22	34:18 40:9
68:18,19	70:6,20	impression 23:12	installation	17:15 20:7,23	43:15 44:5
Harsch's 53:12	hot 59:20	improper 20:21	47:21	21:8,10,13 22:3	46:16 50:13
HARSH 63:4	Hubbard 2:12,18	improve 24:6	instance 30:4	22:5 23:19 42:1	65:24
having 6:5 14:24	4:15 6:2,4,11	57:24	42:3 47:20 48:2	42:5 44:17 49:3	kinds 58:13
25:4 29:17 31:7	6:12 9:3 50:2,3	improvement	48:6	56:5 63:24 67:6	know 7:6 11:8
37:12 38:6 51:2	50:8,16 56:18	66:20	instances 10:15	67:20 68:1	13:21 21:3
53:17 57:10	Hubbard's 6:8	improvements	32:4,5 47:19,19	issued 16:17	24:17,22 28:1
head 5:23	hydroelectric	43:11 47:7	48:6 49:3	17:5,20 18:7	37:14 44:13
headaches 44:18	58:10	inadequacies	instanter 3:22	19:15,19,23	47:18 52:18
health 50:12	H-U-B-B-A-R-D	54:3	instead 21:6	20:2 21:22 37:8	59:2 60:9 63:8
52:17	6:12	inc 22:22	46:14	44:15 60:2 61:1	63:9 68:12
healthy 64:17		inception 56:3	instill 20:16	issues 6:1 19:12	knowing 18:2
65:13	<u> </u>	inclination 45:5	intellectual	19:14 22:23	24:20 64:21
hear 4:7,13 12:16	IAWA 53:24	include 7:16	57:21	23:5 38:2 41:22	knowledge 27:14
12:21 64:21	idea 28:1	46:24 58:10	intended 4:24	43:11 45:14	knows 11:7,8
heard 12:8 54:2	identified 2:15	included 7:19	22:14 23:3	49:17 64:19	
65:23 66:3	41:21 59:18	including 5:18	intent 8:23 26:3	issuing 19:15	<u> </u>
67:23	63:7	inclusion 2:24	47:3	20:20 21:18	L 2:12,18,19,23
hearings 18:17	identify 25:14	income 23:20,22	intention 49:15	67:16	4:15,16 6:4,12
31:12,15,16,20	28:5 37:3	24:1	interaction 27:6	item 30:5	lack 44:9
32:11,13,13,15	IEPA 25:1 26:23	inconsistent	27:12 34:6,18	IW 53:24	lady 6:12
32:22,24 35:15 35:16 36:14.18	26:24 34:19	19:19,23 20:6	interest 23:2		laid 15:13
	53:5	21:17	35:1,3,9 37:16	iello 13:21	lake 64:22
37:10,13 40:17	ignored 11:24 IL 1:23	incorporate 33:8	interested 15:23 24:12 25:13	•	language 10:14
42:1,3 54:24	III 1:8 3:4 70:12	33:10		job 55:8	19:7,7,11 20:14
66:2 67:19	ļ	incorporating 54:13	26:4 48:9 62:10	Johnson 2:7,19	22:16 23:4,6,14
heartfelt 7:13	Illinois 1:1,14,15 2:3,4,5 3:19 4:1	increase 27:13	65:11 68:14 interesting 65:9	2:23 3:12,15 4:16 50:22 51:1	24:20 26:5,5
held 1:13 4:6	5:18,19,19 6:18	increase 27:13	interesting 65:9	4:16 50:22 51:1 51:8,8	28:22 29:11 30:6,7,12,15
14:19 18:20	10:11,11 11:10	18:14 43:18,18	interim 33:15	Johnson's 3:13	35:7 36:1 46:3
31:13,16 32:14	11:22 15:9,17	indeed 12:7	intern 31:14	51:5	46:8,21
32:22 35:7 57:3	15:22 20:2 21:6	45:15,18	interpretation	joining 3:9,13	larger 59:16 60:8
58:8 68:10 70:9	21:9 22:21 24:7	independent	53:6	jointly 66:20	60:14
70:14	25:24 26:1,3	21:3 36:7	interpreted 8:10	judgment 20:4	last 11:15,17,19
help 4:24 40:7,8	27:1 28:21 29:6	indicated 23:13	11:3 24:17	just 11:11 12:2	11:20 15:4
41:22	29:22 30:17,19	26:9 40:15	intervenor 60:7	21:15 23:22	23:13 25:10,22
helpful 26:11	32:9 34:4 35:20	66:19	intervenors	24:10 26:23	27:8,14,15,18
30:8	38:15 44:2	individual 21:12	60:18,21	27:24 28:9,15	32:16 36:15
helping 25:16	51:13 53:19,23	22:4 34:15 35:2	intimidating	30:11 33:1,1	42:11 43:12
her 40:15	54:11 55:1 56:8	39:18 43:22	65:10	35:10 41:9	44:6 56:12
hereunto 70:16	57:19,21 59:3	44:1,2	introduce 15:15	45:20 51:16	63:16,22
higher 58:13	61:14 63:5	individuals 36:22	24:14	53:2 55:24	Lastly 8:24
him 63:7	64:20 65:22,22	55:14 63:12	investors 58:22	56:17 57:1	late 56:10,10
himself 64:5,11	66:8 70:1,7,10	65:9	involve 21:23	58:21 61:10	59:18
hold 16:8 35:6	70:11,11	individual's	involved 42:16	63:23 66:9 68:9	later 13:13 14:5
	,			_	

				_	
14:6 17:23 20:9	License 1:20	67:14	market 58:5	35:23 36:2,3,9	66:23 67:21
latitude 47:4	70:23	Iuli 28:22	Mary 51:10	55:4 57:18	most 7:12 17:12
Laughter 56:23	lieu 12:17 41:15	Lynne 2:8 3:11	materially 6:23	millions 62:5	39:4,5 42:4
law 10:5,6,8,12	like 3:17 4:9 6:10		materials 13:10	mine 31:15 58:2	59:4,10,13 60:3
10:16 11:4,9	6:12,19 7:7,21	M	13:12,13	mineral 61:17	motion 3:19,20
12:20,23,24	13:21 16:16	M 2:10,20,23	matter 1:4 3:3,8	minerals 61:17	3:20,21,21,23
13:1,3 15:9	25:8 27:14	3:22 4:17 9:4	3:10 22:6 26:8	61:22	3:24 4:2,3,4,5
19:16,20,24	38:13 42:8	16:4 26:21	29:11 57:16	minimal 27:5,6	68:12
20:5,6,11,13,19	44:10,10 49:17	33:22 37:6	60:17 70:11	33:6	motions 3:18
20:24 21:10	51:6,20 59:20	40:12 45:21	matters 3:17	minimize 25:16	mounted 59:19
22:1,7,9 23:9	61:10,20 64:7	47:10 52:24	may 4:18 10:8	26:6,14,15	move 14:16
23:11,21,21,23	65:8,14 66:16	53:11,16 55:22	12:21 33:4	minimizing 67:3	moved 27:8,22
28:21 29:6	69:3	machine 70:15	38:13 48:16,20	mining 59:5,8	moving 14:8
30:23 31:2,2	likelihood 18:12	made 7:9 24:6	49:14 55:14	minor 3:17	25:22
38:3,15 39:22	18:14	28:12 29:5	60:1 61:20,20	minute 28:15	much 14:7,16
63:11,17	likely 19:4	31:24 42:9	67:19,19 68:5	misconstrued	23:6 24:24
lawfully 60:2	Likewise 14:3	53:20 55:11	maybe 14:4	20:10	42:10,10 43:12
laws 34:9	limit 7:15	60:23	51:22 52:20	misinterpreted	44:19 45:8
lawyer 7:23 9:8	limitations 29:20	magnitude 31:19	55:14 63:23	21:16	49:10 53:2,11
58:20	29:24 30:4,11	major 27:10	ma'am 50:3	mistake 22:10	61:16 62:8
lawyers 49:14	31:4 45:12	51:16,18 59:18	mean 16:19,21	misunderstand	65:18 69:8,10
lead 3:7 16:15	limited 48:5	make 8:1,2 19:4	39:21 50:7,14	41:14	multitude 41:11
42:6 45:16 47:1	line 33:21,21	20:19 25:14	55:2	Mitchell 2:13	municipal 55:6
learn 45:2	lines 33:18,19	26:17 35:2	meaning 12:11	62:13,14 65:19	municipalities
learned 13:20	51:19 52:19	39:22 40:6	meaningful 12:19	65:20,20	53:21 59:5
leased 61:22	60:24 62:3	43:17 44:14	meaningless	model 52:14	must 5:6 53:3
leasing 61:17	list 5:4,4,7,8,9,11	45:5 47:23,23	12:10 13:2,3	modernization	myself 29:1
least 26:16 29:6	59:4 63:11	48:4 56:20	meanings 50:6	40:4	38:24 67:1
37:23 41:1	68:15	58:24 62:11	means 16:18	modernizing	
61:15 67:9	litigation 67:15	63:20 64:1,5	22:24 31:9	56:16	N
68:22 69:1	little 19:8 27:8	65:10 66:3,9,17	meet 7:14 8:15	modification	N 2:1,16 9:4,4
leave 6:16 27:20	32:20 35:16	makes 13:3	8:15 13:19 19:9	25:18 40:3,3	16:4,4 26:21,21
leaves 34:7	42:10 50:18	25:19 29:2	64:16	44:5	33:22,22 37:6,6
led 57:17	52:21 68:23	65:15,24 66:1	meeting 4:4	modified 17:24	40:12,12 45:21
left 3:8,12 4:11	live 64:18	making 21:2	41:17 69:1	37:10 61:2	45:21 47:10,10
5:3	living 31:2 65:22	29:23 55:13	meetings 22:4	modifiers 7:20	52:24,24 55:22
legal 13:20 17:6	loan 36:5,10	62:10 65:12	25:23,24 67:11	8:11	55:22
17:7 25:20	local 65:4	man 58:21	megawatts 58:18	modify 26:5	name 3:1 4:20
28:19 33:16	locate 24:19	manageable	member 3:7,8,9	modifying 15:23	6:11 15:4 37:3
34:7	located 11:1	25:19	3:11,12 4:24	money 39:5 58:6	38:7 51:8 57:12
legally 39:20	locating 15:18	mandate 40:17	members 2:5	58:24 68:1	68:3
legislation 35:19	logged 44:7	manner 39:1	54:13 64:18,18	monitoring 46:1	natural 57:20
legislature 8:22	60:22	manufacturing	65:1 67:13	46:14,15,16	nature 35:10,11
55:3	logic 7:13	59:5,9	memorize 11:13	47:14,15 48:19	41:2,4 47:17
legitimate 24:12	logical 7:13	many 10:15	mention 49:7	month 43:21	48:3
legs 50:19	long 7:4 9:8 11:2	15:17 28:1 31:3	mentioned 50:4	49:19	necessarily 10:2
length 68:20	26:23,24 50:5	31:4,6 41:7,24	51:15 55:5 67:6	monthly 34:16	56:20
less 27:17 41:17	69:9	43:20 67:8	met 54:15	67:11	necessary 23:2
49:15,19 67:14	longer 20:11	mapped 62:1	Metropolitan	months 48:2	23:21 47:14
lessee 59:21	look 5:20 15:24	March 3:18	48:6	more 7:13 11:3	54:2,24 65:3
lessor 57:15	30:12 33:2 41:2	Margaret 2:13	Michael 2:6 3:9	12:18 19:4 23:5	need 13:21,23
let 17:14 21:15	41:4 43:10 46:2	62:13 65:20	mid 27:2	27:12 32:5,6	20:17 23:17
38:1 41:9 43:24	54:18 69:5,6	Marie 1:15 3:1	middle 6:11	35:22 42:3,11	27:12 39:8
letter 28:6 33:1	looking 37:17	70:14	might 8:5,5 9:18	44:19,21 45:8	49:11 52:16
letting 66:5	55:2	mark 2:13,19,23	16:8 61:5 65:10	45:13,14,15	58:7,16,17 60:9
let's 5:24 20:18	looks 40:23	4:16 50:22 51:1	Mike 40:14 43:24	51:21 55:9,9	66:4 68:22
28:10 50:17	loses 66:2	51:6,8 53:12	Miller 2:13 62:8	56:14 59:11,23	69:10
58:19	lot 18:22 24:18	63:22	62:10 63:24	67:14 68:23	needed 16:21
level 43:15 54:5	43:3,9 52:16	marked 25:8	64:4,8,10,11,13	morning 3:1 5:13	19:20 38:13
67:10	56:1 60:10	28:14 53:13	million 6:22	6:17 55:5 66:20	needlessly 67:18

needs 13:18	47:4 52:19 55:7	officer 1:16 3:1,3	53:7	23:4	24:7 27:4,5,6
21:10 37:16	55:11 56:4	3:16 5:8,22,24	operate 38:9	out 8:9 15:13	27:17 30:5
51:23 52:21	70:13	6:7 9:2 11:17	53:21	23:4 43:17	31:18 34:3,8,21
59:15	NPDES-5 31:12	14:15,18,20	operating 29:4,8	49:16 61:6	36:21 37:16
Negative 10:17	nuclear 58:18	15:2,7 16:2	38:24	outcome 61:8,8,9	38:22 39:19
Network 9:7 62:9	number 9:7 19:2	24:3,23 25:6	operation 47:2	62:1	40:2 44:21 45:3
63:12,16	21:23 23:13	26:20 28:4,10	operations 31:5	output 28:17	45:15 48:13
never 20:9 39:16	31:11,12,20	29:13 30:16	31:6	50:10	52:9,10,15
45:5 61:8	32:11,12,13,24	37:2 39:7 40:11	operators 43:16	outreach 41:21	64:15 66:10,12
Nevertheless	36:13 41:4,18	45:19 47:9	opinion 8:4.6	48:12	70:12
59:8	54:4 65:11	49:21,24 50:17	opinions 8:12	Outright 57:19	particular 10:20
never-ending	numerous 22:8	50:21 51:4	65:16	outside 34:13	10:21 22:4
54:9 61:7	nursery 6:13	52:23 53:10	opponents 24:8	over 4:22 8:17	39:18 40:7
new 12:8,16	indisciy 0.15	55:21 56:24	opportunities	26:23 43:22,23	45:23 46:8
13:15 18:9,10	0	57:4,13 62:7,13	24:6,11	67:3	47:18 60:8
18:24 19:3 45:7	O 9:4 16:4 26:21	62:15,17,21,24	opportunity 14:3	overall 15:15	particularly 13:7
			16:17,20 19:6,7	19:1 21:14 27:3	38:24
45:13,24 52:6	33:22,22 37:6,6	63:3,10,14,18		43:3	parties 13:11
54:4 55:15	40:12,12 45:21	64:4,7,9 65:19	20:24 34:22,23		24:12 25:13.15
next 14:16 24:24	45:21 47:10,10	66:6 68:8,11,21	34:24 38:14	overflow 48:2,10	,
38:16 50:21	52:24 55:22	68:22 70:14	54:9,10 64:24	overflows 48:11	26:4,4,9,13
53:11 54:16	object 7:7	offices 1:14 4:14	65:17,21 66:4	overlooked 67:10	48:9 68:14
62:8 68:14	objecting 13:12	often 32:24 64:17	67:11,14	overturned 18:13	partly 38:20
NIMBY 7:11 50:4	objection 6:7 7:5	Oh 11:6 14:21	opposed 9:21,23	overturning	partnership 28:7
50:6,8,14	7:12,14 8:19	17:22 45:5	10:20 40:3	18:10	28:8
nine 6:17	9:1 11:10 12:4	oil 59:5 61:22	55:10,15	over-site 34:16	party 6:20 7:2
nobody's 39:2	14:2,20 15:2	Okay 6:1 9:13,20	option 39:12	own 57:21 62:22	16:13 17:10,12
none 6:9 15:4	24:16 25:6	9:24 10:10 12:1	options 21:23	owned 53:22	18:6 20:18
25:7 28:14 51:5	28:11,13 50:9	12:15 13:5,9	22:2	55:4	21:19 34:13
53:13	50:10,13 51:4	18:18,19 20:17	order 4:13 13:19	owner 6:19,21	37:21 38:12
normal 65:16	53:12 56:15	21:5 25:10	21:21 31:19	7:2 61:18,21	past 31:23 32:4
67:2	objections 7:11	27:24 28:16	56:17 68:21	owner's 6:24	54:14 58:21
North 1:23	8:7 55:16 64:9	29:5 30:8 37:1	orders 68:16	owns 57:18	path 62:1
northern 41:11	objective 18:11	38:5 39:10 46:2	order's 5:8	o'clock 6:17	pay 24:1 67:2
Notarial 70:17	25:11 37:21,22	50:21	organization		paying 23:22
Notary 70:6,21	39:21,22 40:1	old 42:13	41:7 62:22,23	Р	PC 9:7
note 2:15,24 4:23	45:4	once 8:6 20:8	63:1 66:10	P 2:1,1,8,10,18	pending 3:24
noted 15:10	objectives 25:20	one 4:21 7:19	organizations	2:22 4:16 15:3	68:12
notes 60:23	39:4 41:23	11:13 16:16	5:16 36:22	paddle 65:13	people 24:1 39:5
nothing 6:24 12:6	objector 7:10,17	20:23 22:24	62:19	Padovan 2:8 3:11	41:18,24 43:16
notice 5:4,7,11	8:18 14:4,5	24:16 34:16	original 35:23	49:22 50:1,4,15	44:23 45:1
32:3,16 33:7,17	39:15	35:9 37:8,21	36:1	page 11:19,20	48:10 57:4
34:2,12 35:12	objectors 8:13	38:4,4,7 40:18	originally 56:7	28:16 31:10	60:10,13 65:11
68:20,23	8:14 38:3 61:4	41:5,6,10 42:8	originated 36:14	pages 70:8	65:16,18 67:8
noticed 27:10,16	observation	44:18 45:20	36:19	paid 23:20 55:6	67:12
32:1 33:2 34:11	64:15	47:12 49:2,10	other 4:22 6:1	59:14,16	perceived 58:7
notices 34:1,10	observations	56:18 57:23	9:7,20 10:24	parameter 43:11	58:23
54:3	64:19,20	58:15 59:23	15:20,24 22:2	part 1:8 3:5 7:9	perception 42:15
notification 48:9	obtain 55:6	60:16 66:1,22	22:22 24:3 26:4	11:11,22 12:11	perceptually
notify 48:9	obvious 14:1	67:23	29:20,24 30:13	13:17,17 19:20	43:15
notion 5:2	37:17	ongoing 35:18	30:14 36:7,11	25:12,19 39:4	performance
NPDES 1:7,8 3:5	obviously 7:24	only 16:19 18:5	38:4 42:6,8	41:11 49:4	28:7,8,8
5:15 18:17	8:1 9:8 44:15	21:18 27:24	47:6,24 49:16	55:16 60:23	perhaps 12:17
21:18 24:7,8	52:8	35:16 37:8	50:14 51:15	70:13	15:23 22:22
26:24,24 27:11	occur 34:16,18	38:20 61:9	54:18,19 58:9	parte 60:17,20	27:23 29:11
28:18,20 30:10	occurred 44:9	63:15 67:23	58:12 60:12,19	participant 6:14	51:23
30:11,22,24	off 14:17,19 57:1	onto 8:17	60:21 66:2	participant 0.14	period 33:7 43:4
31:13,16,21	57:3 68:9,10		others 12:4 64:10	participants 2:15	51:22,23 52:20
• •	•	open 15:20 39:19	others 12:4 64:10	65:1	53:3,7 68:18
34:3,21 36:13	69:10 offer 42:3	45:3 66:12,12 <b>OPENING</b> 2:17	otnerwise 29:23 61:6	participation 1:7	53:3,7 68:18 periods 51:20,21
26.47 22 42-2	COTTOT 41/15		i 0.1:0	participation 1:/	penous or:20,21
36:17,22 43:6 44:10,19 45:17	offering 44:11	open-ended 52:2	ought 14:3,6 21:2	3:4 5:15 15:12	permission 30:13

permit 6:21 7:1,8	45:24	52:16	problems 15:14	progressively	61:17 66:13
7:9,10,14 12:18	petitioner 8:17	possibility 8:3	55:19 58:15	27:7	provision 11:21
12:19 13:12	23:14 59:17	possible 20:12	67:5	project 8:16	22:19 34:3
16:13,14,15,17	petitioners 9:7	46:7	procedural 55:17	24:21 50:11	45:23 48:17
17:2,4,6,7,19	63:16	possibly 37:12	procedure 10:13	57:23 60:4,6,6	provisions 16:16
17:20,22,24	phone 68:15	48:7	12:3,7 18:7	projected 58:10	22:13 47:18
18:7,10,12,13	phonetic 39:13	post 45:14	67:17	projects 24:8	48:20
19:4,22 20:5,20	62:3	potato 59:20	procedures 1:10	57:23 58:9,12	pubic 35:4
21:21,21 27:4	physical 32:16	potential 26:6	3:5 15:12 16:14	58:22 60:3	publically 53:21
31:16 34:3,24	Ph.D 2:6	45:10 58:17	16:19 18:9	promoted 58:3	publicly 32:1
35:7,12 37:8,19	picked 27:14	68:16,17	19:15,23 20:1,1	pronouncing	55:3
37:20,22 38:2	piece 43:8	power 58:15,15	20:4,20,23 21:9	15:4	publish 68:24
38:14 41:1,3,3	place 12:6,11	58:16,18	21:14,17,24	proof 8:19	purpose 4:7
41:10 44:5 45:6	43:6 48:11	practical 7:22	22:4,5 38:23	proper 21:8,13	60:14
45:11 46:14,24	placed 5:10	practice 46:21	43:6 53:5 70:13	22:3 38:15 39:1	pursuant 12:20
47:3 49:5 54:5	places 11:1	practicing 9:8	proceed 24:24	39:19	60:2
54:14 55:13	22:19 66:10	Prairie 6:14 9:6	28:10 38:16	properly 22:18	pursue 42:3
57:17 <b>58:2</b> ,2	plan 36:5,10	12:4 59:17	39:1 45:11	69:1	61:11
59:24 60:1,2,5	46:15,16 48:14	60:17 61:15	proceeding 3:3	property 6:19	put 8:6 9:14
60:8 61:1,1,2	planning 24:18	62:9 63:5,12,15	4:6 5:6,14 7:3	50:13 57:22	13:12 18:4 21:
61:23 65:4	47:5	64:2	12:21 19:1	61:19,19	39:8 41:18 49:
66:11,15 67:16	plans 47:23,24	precipitated 6:15	36:15,20,24	proponent 63:19	49:12 58:22
permits 1:9 3:5	plant 43:16 48:1	preconceived 5:2	56:5 63:4 69:4	64:14	putting 8:2 22:21
5:15 16:8 17:16	67:4	preliminary 3:17	proceedings 1:13	proponents 24:5	32:19 33:3
17:16,18 19:15	plants 58:18	6:1	12:16 16:11	25:23 36:14,19	46:13
19:19 21:18	play 25:16	premise 29:8	21:12 65:15	36:23,23 53:24	puzzling 59:22
24:9,9 27:4	plea 7:12	prepare 38:10	66:24 67:6 70:9	54:19 55:7	
29:20 31:13,21	pleadings 5:5	present 2:9,15	70:14	62:20 63:4,21	Q
31:24 32:24	please 4:20,21	3:8 28:2 35:20	process 6:20,23	65:7	quality 42:22
33:2 36:13,18	4:23 5:10 6:2	48:5 54:22	7:4 13:20 14:8	proposal 13:5	45:10,11 65:4
36:18 43:20,22	6:10,11 29:14	64:21	15:12,16,16	15:24 19:3,14	66:21
43:23 44:2,3,4	32:12 37:3	president 37:4	16:23 17:8,15	24:6 56:17	quantify 50:14
44:5,8,14,23	50:23 53:12	51:9 57:5,12	17:24 18:3 21:2	proposals 51:17	question 4:18
48:7 55:7,10,12	plenty 23:24	presumably	21:12 24:13,16	51:19 56:8	11:10 17:14
55:12 58:8	plus 36:2	42:12	24:18 26:19	propose 61:20	23:1,17 29:12
70:13	pod 64:22	pretty 42:17	27:18 39:12	proposed 1:6 3:4	30:8 33:5 36:16
permitting 1:9	point 17:17,22	previous 8:14	41:1,14,19,23	7:20 11:24	37:8 38:1 39:9
3:5 6:20,23 7:2	31:7,15 32:6	58:2	42:11 44:8 52:9	13:15,17 15:13	42:8 45:20,23
7:4 15:12,16	35:17 44:14	previously 32:17	52:19 57:22	16:24 17:1	46:2,10 47:12
21:12,12 22:4	47:22 59:9,11	pre-field 11:18	66:11,12	22:16 24:14	48:23 49:2,10
24:7,13 27:18	59:13 62:10	pre-file 4:9	processed 44:7	25:11 34:24	49:22 50:1 53:2
30:23 34:7	points 51:16,19	pre-filed 2:21	produce 25:17	35:19 40:2	55:12 56:17,18
41:14,23 43:6	51:21 55:11	3:22 4:7,12 5:5	professional 65:9	47:15 51:21	62:19 68:19
70:13	policy 46:11,12	5:17 11:16 15:3	70:22	52:1 53:6 58:20	questioned 37:19
person 29:12	63:12,17	15:10,22 16:7	professionalism	59:23 61:6,21	67:21
64:14	poliutants 59:19	26:19	43:16	64:18,23 66:14	questioning
personal 50:12	pollution 1:1,14	prices 58:13	program 21:21	70:12	42:10
personally 25:13	2:3,5 10:11	primarily 59:9,16	25:19,21 26:24	protect 67:17	questions 4:8,21
26:10 44:22	21:6 27:9,9,16	prime 52:9	28:17 29:2	Protection 20:10	4:23,24 5:9,21
68:15	27:23 42:16	principal 57:15	30:10,11,22,24	30:18,19 34:2	9:1 16:3 24:2,3
personnel 67:18	59:3,4,6,15	principally 45:6	31:3 34:3,8,22	54:11 <sup>°</sup> 56:9	26:20 28:9
persons 5:7 50:6	61:14 68:13	prior 5:17 31:7	39:23 42:24	protective 65:4	32:11 35:15
perspective	70:10	38:17 46:19	43:3,8 44:18,19	proud 43:3 65:23	37:1 40:11,14
38:15 40:8	population 44:1	privately 53:22	45:7,17 47:3,5	prove 18:6	40:24 41:13
41:12,13	45:18	probably 8:12	48:9 52:13	provide 13:10	52:23 55:11,21
perspectives	portion 10:1 52:5	35:22 43:18	58:10	24:16 32:12	56:14 60:24
-	55:5	47:17 67:13	programs 28:18	34:22,23 60:19	61:3 62:8
26:12		problem 14:5,10	28:20 36:22	provided 51:15	quick 40:14
	portions 22:8	DIODICIII IT.J. IV			
26:12 petition 7:8 13:11 22:17	portions 22:8 position 12:5	21:7 32:18 33:3	48:19	provides 8:18	45:20

52:17	33:24 37:3	reopened 51:23	14:10 18:19	12:4 59:17	satisfied 39:16
quit 61:11	51:23 53:3 57:1	53:3	23:21 47:1 49:4	60:17 61:15	39:18
quite 10:7 66:20	57:3 66:17 68:9	reopening 53:7	requiring 24:8	62:9 63:12,16	satisfy 61:12
quoted 22:18	68:10 69:10	report 28:8,16,19	reservation	64:2	saw 42:22
	recorded 70:15	31:14,16	66:23	Road 62:3	saying 12:15, 17
R	recording 48:18	Reported 1:20	resolution 16:1	role 25:16 27:17	13:11 40:6 46:8
R 2:1 33:22 37:6	48:18	reporter 4:22	resolve 15:21	28:23	says 7:14 16:16
40:12 45:21	records 53:5	32:15 70:21,22	19:13	room 4:11 5:3,11	16:24
47:10,10 70:4	reduce 6:24	reporting 1:22,24	resolved 21:11	23:7 39:5 65:23	schedule 49:5
rain 58:4 60:12	25:16	2:26 46:18	49:18	69:9	69:1
rainfall 48:10	redundant 19:18	48:17,18 70:24	resource 44:16	round 32:3	scheduled 41:17
raise 4:18 24:13	22:24 23:2.19	reports 7:7,17	resources 44:9	rounds 24:16	schedules 47:20
55:11 61:12	refer 9:21	represent 4:20	respect 39:3	rows 65:8	scientists 44:24
raised 14:4 23:3	references 7:17	6:13 9:6 65:17	respond 4:5	Roy 2:10,20,23	Seal 70:17
61:3 64:19	regard 8:4,5,24	67:8	13:23 57:24	3:22 4:17 32:9	search 9:14,18
raises 8:19 16:21	22:16,23 28:18	representative	58:7 66:14	53:11,16	second 1:14 2:3
raising 14:5 55:8	45:23 47:12	25:24		RPR 1:20	3:20 4:6,15
ran 13:17	48:16		response 37:15		,
ran 13:17		representatives	38:8 66:14	rule 6:15 8:10,20	5:13 23:1 39:22
	regarding 16:8	54:15	responsibility	14:10 15:13	54:22 57:2 59:5
rankings 59:8	18:16 30:22	representing	39:17	20:14 22:8	60:24 68:9
rapidly 14:8	32:11 34:2	41:7 67:1	responsible 24:1	29:21 30:9	70:10
rates 43:14	regardless 48:19	request 6:15	result 14:9 31:12	39:11,14 53:8	secondly 30:7
rather 13:6 22:5	Region 21:20	34:24 35:9,11	37:20 44:9	54:1 58:4 59:17	41:2
46:13 63:20	34:15	35:23 36:14,17	47:16	60:13 61:21	section 11:12
react 38:11	Regional 34:6	36:18 41:1,4,5	resulted 48:10	69:2	29:19 30:17
read 4:17 18:10	Registered 70:22	41:5,16 42:1,2	52:10	rulemaking 1:7	33:9,16 46: <b>1</b>
ready 40:4	Regrettably	42:5,7 67:23,24	results 28:20	5:9,14 31:8	see 5:10 7:4 10:5
real 23:17 49:17	44:13	requested 32:12	45:1 48:19	33:14 49:12	11:15 17:22
reality 41:12	regulate 31:6	requests 9:3	revenue 35:24	61:6 68:18 69:4	19:12 22:21
44:19	59:11	32:13,24 35:10	36:6,7,12	rules 1:7 3:4 7:16	25:15 34:14
really 13:21	regulated 59:10	38:10 40:18	review 11:23	7:20 8:3,12,15	43:13 44:10,13
24:17 53:2	regulation 19:21	41:6,12 55:2	12:3,7 48:4	8:16,21 9:19	51:20
55:15 65:16	22:17 25:19	68:6	49:6	10:1,15 13:15	seeing 6:9 15:4
reasonable 7:21	29:7 33:20 35:8	require 13:16	reviewed 54:16	20:8 22:22 23:8	25:7 28:14 51:5
7:24 8:4,5,8	40:17 54:8,8	19:1 21:1 23:9	reviewing 19:10	25:12 39:1 40:2	53:13 69:6
9:18 13:9 14:9	55:8 67:4	33:7 45:15	revised 56:12	41:7 52:1 53:8	seeking 65:7
14:13	regulations	46:14 47:20	revision 17:1	56:19,20 64:23	seem 17:14
Reasons 63:11	18:16 22:18,20	48:12	revoked 18:1	70:12	39:12 46:17
reassess 37:18	28:19,20,22	required 6:22	revolving 36:5,10	ruling 4:3,3 7:24	52:1 54:4 63:19
recall 42:17	30:22 31:4	13:12 22:9 30:2	rewritten 56:19	run 21:20 30:6	seems 14:1,6,13
receipt 4:14	33:19 37:23	30:3,14,15	re-draft 56:7	running 26:24	22:24 55:13
receive 5:5,8	46:4 54:4,13	33:11,13,14,19	re-drafted 56:9	R03-19 1:6 3:6	63:24
58:14	56:2,4,16 58:20	55:6 67:18	re-noticed 31:23		seen 55:9,19
received 3:18,21	regulatory 4:1	68:20	re-write 54:4,12	S	66:20
37:11	26:1 29:22	requirement 7:10	56:16	\$ 1:20 2:1 33:22	seizure 9:15,18
recent 31:20	59:14 62:4	7:15,16 23:18	rhyme 6:13	33:22 37:6,6	send 41:19
recently 31:5	reissued 48:7	30:10,15 33:16	rid 52:8	40:12,12 45:21	sense 57:23
recital 34:20	related 36:23	35:6,13,13,14	right 3:7,11 5:24	45:21 47:10,10	sensible 39:20
Reclamation	relates 8:14	47:2,18 48:8	8:8 10:3,14	70:6,20	sensible 39:20 sent 36:1
48:6	relates 6:14	requirements	8:8 10:3,14 11:21 17:16	70:6,20 safe 44:8	sent 36:1 sentence 11:15
recognize 60:9	31:17	-	11:21 17:16 24:12,17 37:22		
1 -		5:15 13:19	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	saith 6:6 15:1	11:17,19,20
recognizing 38:8	relatively 43:12	20:13 23:24	40:9 42:14	25:5 29:18 51:3	28:24
recommendation	59:6,14	28:19 29:21,24	50:17 62:13	53:18 57:11	September 56:11
25:18 26:7,17	rely 7:18	30:1,2,10 33:6	63:13	sake 17:17	series 15:14
49:18	remain 6:22	34:21 42:24,24	rights 39:2 57:22	same 3:21 25:20	serious 62:4
record 2:15 4:23	remember 28:23	45:7,8,10 46:1	61:18	33:1 41:18	serve 3:2 5:6
5:1 12:6,12	28:24 46:8	46:14,18 48:17	ripe 4:2	45:18 61:18	45:18
13:13 14:17,19	Renee 28:6	48:18 54:5	risk 17:23	62:14,16	service 5:4,4,7
28:5 30:16	renewal 44:4	55:17 61:5	River 63:5	sanitary 53:20	5:11 51:12
32:12,20 33:14	reopen 53:5	requires 10:15	Rivers 6:14 9:6	sat 67:21	52:17
				<del></del>	

slow 15:16	70:10	STATEMENTS	subtle 65:15,15	talk 19:12 58:19	Thanks 56:14
sloshing 39:4	South 1:14 2:3	66:9	Substitute 3:19	48:11 62:5	thankful 54:11
slanted 8:12	59:3,9,11,12	42:9 63:11 64:5	15:16 56:12	taking 17:23	69:10
Skinner 28:7	sources 36:7,11	statement 29:5	substantially	takes 12:11	68:11 69:3,7,8
skill 45:1	31:7	65:6	24:15 55:2	21:8 50:20 61:2	66:5,6,16 68:8
36:3,8	source 28:19	25:10 31:10	substantial	taken 2:2 8:9	65:18,19,20
six 27:8,15,18	60:4	stated 22:19	submitting 32:19	50:17	63:13 64:13
52:18	sort 41:20 43:15	70:1,7	48:15 49:4	33:4 38:21	56:24 62:6,7,8
situations 46:13	63:8,10	66:21 67:17	submitted 15:22	19:17 32:20	53:10 55:20
61:9	36:16 56:2 57:7	65:22,22,23	46:15 68:15	take 4:17 18:4	51:6 52:23 53:9
situation 47:12	28:8 30:20	48:19 53:23	submit 29:3	tactic 55:12	49:20 50:15,19
sitting 39:5	21:19 27:21	42:22 45:7,8	24:15	tables 7:8,17	24:23 47:8 49:9
sit 19:11	sorry 6:9 20:17	40:16 41:11	subjectivity	table 4:11	14:15 15:7 16:2
68:3	sore 38:19	35:13 37:2,9,17	24:15	55:22 70:4,4	thank 5:21 9:2
12:14,23 32:8	45:16	33:16,20 35:2,8	subjectiveness	47:10 52:24	62:11 64:10
sir 9:9,10 11:13	sophistication	30:23 33:11,13	18:11	40:12 45:21	53:13 54:2
sincerely 7:12	45:14	22:5 25:19 30:3	subjective 16:22	33:22 37:6	46:18 51:5
56:3	sophisticated	21:2,2,11,21,24	subjected 37:10	T 9:4 16:4 26:21	25:7 26:19
25:22 27:2 31:2	somewhere 6:21	15:19 20:1,2,8	61:22 64:11	T	23:12,15 24:24
since 6:23 19:18	35:21,22	12:23,24 13:1,3	57:17 58:1	-30.0 0010	16:7,24 19:18
50:10	somewhat 9:19	state 4:20 12:20	subject 48:4	system 38:9	15:3,10,14,22
22:24 24:19	55:12	50:21 57:6	study 46:17 47:1	39:13	6:8,10 11:16,18
simplistic 34:20 simply 17:1	61:13 65:2 sometimes 44:24	standings 61:18	49:4,5 study 46:17 47:1	sympathy 40:10	4:17 5:5,17,21
simple 61:19 simplistic 34:20	47:13 48:21 61:13 65:2	61:24 standings 61:18	studies 47:13,16	57:10 64:10 sympathy 40:10	testimony 2:21 3:22 4:7,13,14
similar 7:10	22:14 40:24	standing 61:14	structure 36:1	53:11,17 57:6	testifying 39:7
sign-up 4:10 5:3	20:16,17 21:17	45:9,9,11	stricter 35:20	50:23 51:2	64:3
27:17	14:5 16:21 19:7	standards 7:24	stricken 10:1,16	29:14,17 39:8	63:20,21,22
significantly	13:22,23 14:2,4	stale 18:20	stretch 50:19	14:21,24 25:4	57:5 62:18
41:5	something 13:22	54:19	2:3 43:17 70:11	sworn 6:2,5	testify 4:9,10,11
37:15 40:18	21:16 49:22	15:20,24 25:13	street 1:15,23	swim 65:13	testifier 4:8,15
35:9,9,10 36:21	someone 8:4	stakeholders	67:16	suspended 52:13	50:2
19:4 27:13 35:3	somehow 48:9	stake 42:5	streamlined	surface 61:19	testified 49:23
7:21 8:1 13:8	38:19	staff's 41:13	65:5	65:12	test 8:15
significant 7:19	Somebody's	Staffing 43:18	stream 64:22	44:12,17 49:8	44:4 61:16 67:4
signed 57:5	17:5 63:9	44:15 54:6	52:13	36:16 44:10,10	37:19 43:16
Sierra 63:12,15	somebody 8:5	34:7 38:24	straightening	22:13 23:6,16	27:6 36:17
54:1	68:6	staff 4:24 27:12	storm 44:4	20:13,16 21:4	16:19 17:10,15
showing 47:24	65:2,6,9,18	square 8:10	stored 23:14	16:18,20 19:20	terms 8:3 9:21
show 35:1	59:23 60:4,16	2:4 70:11	store 58:12	10:7 11:9 14:18	term 16:18
shortly 3:10	58:23,24 59:16	Springfield 1:15	storage 58:10	sure 8:1,2,20	tendered 2:24
70:21	54:20 55:16,19	spring 56:9	stopped 24:21	Supreme 6:18	tend 59:9,11
shorthand 70:15	51:18,20 53:22	spent 42:19	stint 27:8	supposed 6:17	telling 21:5 46:9
short 22:2 50:20	47:1,18 48:5	speculation 40:9	41:18 46:21	support 40:5	tell 43:15 46:12
shoot 49:14	46:12,16,18	speculate 37:14	still 8:5,20 13:13	summer 56:9,10	Telesol 62:3
shift 8:17 27:11	41:22 42:6 44:5	specs 47:24	stiffer 19:8	38:11 53:19	telephone 26:2
sheets 5:3	35:11 40:2	specifies 46:23	54:12	summary 26:18	57:14
sheet 4:11	30:1 34:18	48:12 51:20	38:16,17,21	25:9 51:16	Technologies
share 68:2	24:16,20 28:12	specifically	step 35:20 38:16	15:10 24:10	58:9
Shakes 5:23	22:24 23:2	47:21 60:16	stays 17:19	summarize 6:10	technicalities
sewer 48:2	some 16:22	40:5 41:10	stayed 17:16,23	Sullivan 51:10	48:1 50:9
54:17	solve 52:17	28:24 30:12	8:22	suits 65:9	technical 7:5,14
several 5:16 26:9	solution 52:10	special 46:17	status 20:17	70:11	tax 25:20,22 24:1
settled 22:13	solution 52:10	special 46:17	Statistics 43:14	Suite 1:15 2:4	tariiisiieu 39:22 tax 23:20,22 24:1
70:16 settled 22:13	solid 52:13 solidified 11:3	62:20,21,22,23 62:24	34:21 36:4,10 statistics 43:14	51:22 52:20 suggestion 7:20	Tanner 2:6 3:7
67:22 68:13	sold 58:13	39:14 43:12	State's 20:23	12:23,24 13:2	23:4 31:19 66:1
51:24 54:9	small 59:6	speaking 4:22	28:17 34:1	suggesting 12:9	talking 17:10
29:22 40:5	55:13	65:21 66:4,5	states 9:11 20:9	7:16 24:8	60:12

their 14:11 24:1	ties 46:23	59:6,15	unconventional	validity 21:13	40:16 42:13,16
24:21 38:20,20	time 4:10,21 9:8	totally 11:23	58:12	value 6:24	42:19,22 43:1,9
38:21 39:15	25:20 27:13	toward 8:13	under 11:22	values 50:13	44:4 45:10,11
41:15,23 42:1	32:20 33:18,19	towards 61:7	30:23 36:4,6,9	58:1	48:6 50:22 51:9
44:24 48:7	33:21,21 34:5	tracking 31:14	38:15 42:9 43:1	various 2:15	51:11,11,12,12
50:19 54:1 55:8	36:2 42:4,4	31:17	53:4 54:8 55:10	18:11 25:13,15	51:13 52:3,4,6
65:4,12 67:12	44:15,16,21	transcript 2:24	58:9 67:19	26:12 49:4,11	52:6,11 53:20
themselves 8:3	45:15 48:5	70:9	underground	vast 36:13	57:21 59:3,4,6
32:14 41:24	49:10 51:19	transferred	57:21 58:10	vehicles 41:18	59:15,19 64:15
48:13	52:19 54:6 55:1	42:17	understand	venue 21:13	64:16,22 65:4,5
thereof 36:12	57:1 62:12	translate 54:6	15:21 26:14,16	67:15	65:13 66:2 <b>1</b>
thing 21:18 22:15	65:14 67:19	transparent	33:12 36:16	venues 67:9	waters 64:17
31:2 44:22	68:13,20 69:3,8	60:15	40:8 46:11	verbatim 22:17	65:12 67:17
60:10	times 52:16	travel 32:16	48:10,23 59:21	46:3	way 12:7 13:24
things 7:19 8:9	tiny 59:14	treated 43:9	65:1	Vermilion 5:18	16:11 20:15,15
18:3 22:9 24:10	Tipsord 1:15 3:1	treatment 43:16	understanding	6:13 37:5 57:5	23:16,16 27:11
43:11,17 45:1	3:2,16 5:22,24	45:9 46:17 52:3	6:16 13:15 17:4	57:13,15,15,16	29:1 37:10,23
45:13,16 47:6	6:7 9:2 11:17	52:4,5,6,11,12	46:3,20 53:4	58:1,11	38:15,20,2 <b>0</b>
47:17 48:2	14:15,18,20	53:21,22 55:4	understands	versa 13:23	52:1,19 56:20
50:11 60:12	15:2,7 16:2	tremendous	38:24	versus 62:3	58:22 61:9 66:1
66:22	24:3,23 25:6	42:22	undertake 44:16	very 13:20 14:15	ways 19:12 23:5
hink 7:1 8:9,18	26:20 28:4,10	trend 27:3	unfair 16:14 18:7	15:11 18:11	week 68:14
8:22 9:13,19	29:13 30:16	Tristano 2:6 3:9	unfolding 60:16	24:23 50:5,13	weeks 4:4 33:4
10:6 11:2,4	37:2 39:7 40:11	5:22,23 11:19	unique 52:10	53:10 59:22	44:6 49:15,19
15:15 18:5,24	45:19 47:9	24:5	United 9:11 20:9	60:17 62:8	54:17 69:2
19:2,17 20:7,22	49:21,24 50:17	Tristano's 3:12	34:1	65:18 69:6,8,10	welcome 5:13
20:23 21:8,11	50:21 51:4	troubled 60:17	unless 16:17	vested 69:4	50:16 63:14
21:15,23,24	52:23 53:10	true 17:19,22	17:19	vetoed 20:5	69:9
22:3,23 23:1,12	55:21 56:24	18:8 70:8	unnecessary	vice 13:23 51:8	well 3:14 9:20
23:20,24 24:10	57:4 62:7,13,15	truly 55:11	15:15 23:1,19	view 21:18 29:6	10:5 16:24
24:11 26:10	62:17,21,24	try 15:21 16:1	54:21	30:21,24	17:10,12,14
29:9 30:12 31:8	63:3,10,14,18	21:20 24:22	unreasonable	viewed 37:21	19:2,10,17 20:1
32:21 35:22	64:4,7,9 65:19	26:14 58:21	9:14	48:14	20:14 22:23
40:5,23 42:22	66:6 68:8,11,22	trying 25:14 26:4	until 13:21	vigorous 59:19	23:8,12,15,17
44:19,22 48:23	70:14	44:14 45:3	updated 38:23	Viola 58:2	23:20 24:11
54:21,24 59:7	Toby 2:11,19,22	turn 43:10	updating 40:2,3	voice 65:16	26:16 34:12
60:9,10 64:5	4:16 24:24 25:3	turned 67:24,24	use 7:23 13:13		35:19 37:14
67:6	25:8 29:12	Turning 31:10	36:8 47:4 65:13	<u> </u>	38:8 40:16
hird 3:24 4:16	33:24 45:23	two 3:17 4:4 7:11	69:9	wait 4:19 14:21	49:14 53:6
8:6,24 9:1	49:3,10 55:4	22:23 24:10	used 9:24 19:15	want 7:4,24 8:1,1	55:20 58:5
12:17 13:7	66:19	36:15 38:3	19:23 20:20	23:10 24:19,22	63:21,24 66:18
16:13 17:10,12	today 5:21 40:1	40:14 69:1	22:22 35:7	25:13 38:13,20	Wendy 2:14
18:6 20:18	51:10 54:2	type 50:9 65:6	40:21	44:17 50:11	62:15 66:8
21:19 41:3	58:19 59:3	68:19	useful 55:24	58:13 63:23	went 12:4
54:22 68:13,16	65:23 66:5	types 7:11 31:3,4	USEPA 20:3,4,5,9	65:20 66:22	were 6:13 9:14
68:16	68:12	typically 7:12	20:12,22,24	68:11	10:10 19:6,23
Thomas 2:7 28:6	today's 4:7,8	34:13 47:19	21:8 29:5,24	wanted 12:2	20:2 21:16
Thornburg 15:10	together 32:19		33:6 34:6,12,13	20:19 66:9	22:13 31:13
hought 63:7	33:2,4,4 49:12	U	52:14 54:3	ware 18:19	33:6 37:11
three 28:1 44:6	58:22	ultimate 7:6	using 16:14	warming 60:11	42:16,21 43:8
49:15,19 65:8	Tom 3:12	39:17	67:22	warrants 35:3	43:10 44:6
hrough 12:4	tomorrow 4:4	ultimately 25:17	usually 35:15	wasn't 8:8 47:4	48:11 56:6,7,8
24:9 25:11	tons 57:18	39:19 41:24	utilization 36:12	waste 5:20 26:1	56:9,12 58:2
29:23 30:6	Tonsor 29:9,9,16	47:1 56:10	utilize 33:15 36:4	32:10 43:9	59:2
32:23 33:1,8	29:19 30:12,19	uncertainty	36:9	51:12,12 52:4,6	we'll 6:1,7 14:16
40:24 41:19	30:24 32:18	10:23,24 24:18		52:7,8,11 53:20	15:2 25:6 28:12
	33:10,13,18	59:24	V	wasting 67:18	28:14 29:13
52:19 62:2			1/ 25-00		32:23 33:1
	35:5 40:20,23	unchemicaled	V 35:20	water 5:19,20 7:6	32:23 33:1
52:19 62:2 throughout 53:22 thumb 41:8	35:5 40:20,23 top 59:4	unchemicaled 64:17	v 35:20 valid 17:20 20:21	26:2 27:9,16	39:14 41:3 42:7

63:22 68:22 66:18  46:18.20 16:8 18.20 16:8 19:11.20  46:18.20 16:8 19:11.20  21:14 23:6,16 25:14 30:11 20:11.20  21:14 23:6,16 25:14 30:11 20:11.20  21:14 30:13 30:11.20  22:14 23:6,16 25:14 30:11 20:11.20  23:14 30:13 30:11.20  23:14 30:13 30:11.20  23:14 30:13 30:11.20  24:14 30:13 30:11.20  25:15 48:8 56:6 4 wrote 9:14 2 26:23 30:22 66:22 1:22 66:14.20 60:11:22 66:11.20 60:11:2						
61:15	63:23 68:22	writing 4:5 44:24	1990 58:4	50 44:1		
16:18,20 18:8 10:14,20 22:12 2:14 23:6,16 25:15 48:8 56:6 wrote 9:14 50:15 51:2 2 2:14 23:6,16 25:15 48:8 56:6 wrote 9:14 50:25:2 58:2,10 18:19 55:2,10 18:19 55:2,10 18:19 55:2,10 18:19 55:2,10 18:19 55:2,10 18:19 55:2,10 18:19 55:2,10 18:19 55:2,10 18:19 55:2,10 18:19 55:2 18:19 55:19 55:2 18:19 55:19 55:2 18:19 55:19 55:2 18:19 55:19 55:2 18:19 55:19 5	we're 15:20		1991 27:22			
19:11,20:22:12			1	· •		
22:14 23:6,16 25:14 31:19 25:14 31:19 37:17 45:13 37:17 45:13 50:21 52:20 50:21,10,18,19 57:22 58:6,19 57:24 58:6 58:24 58:24 58:24 58:24 58:24 58:24 58:24 58:24 58:24 58:22 58:23 58:22 58:0 58:24	•	!				
28:14 31:19 37:17 45:13 37:17 45:13 50:21 52:20 55:2,10,18,19 56:10,11,12 66:10,11,12 66:10,11,12 66:10,11,12 67:16,17,18 68:10 70:17 68:17 68:18 68:10 68:10 70:17 68:17 68:18 68:10 68:10 70:17 68:17 68:18 68:10 68:10 70:17 70:10 70:17 88:17:10 88:27:2 88:47:2 88:47:10 88:47:2 88:47:10 88:47:2 88:47:2 88:47:10 88:47:2 88:47:2 88:47:10 88:47:2 88:	•	l .	1.001 =11	6		
37:17 45:13 50:21 52:20 50:21 52:20 55:21,01,8,19 57:22 58:6,19 50:10,11,12 50:10 50:10 50:24 55:22 50:3 33:2 41:6 43:3 49:16 542 42:3 33:2 41:6 43:3 49:16 542 49:17 45:13 2002 28:6 50:10 52:24 55:22 52:3 33:2 41:6 43:3 49:16 45:2 55:9 40:41 52:24 55:22 40:12 52:23 49:7,14 49:16 49:16 49:16 49:16 49:17 49:18 69:10 52:24 55:22 52:3 33:2 41:6 49:7,14 49:18 69:10 49:18 69:19 49:7,14 49:18 69:19 49:18 69:19 49:7,14 49:18 69:19 49:18 69:19 49:7,14 49:18 69:19 49:18 69:19 49:7,14 49:18 69:19 49:7,14 49:18 69:19 49:19	•		2			
550:2,10,18,19 57:22 58:6,19 60:10,11,12 60:10,11,12 67:16,17,18 69:10 59:10 69:10 59:10 69:10 5		•		l '		
55:2,10,18,19 57:22 58:6,19 60:10,11,12 60:10 60:10 60:10 52:24 57:22 200 57:18 60:10 52:24 55:22 200 57:18 23:10 25:22,23 Y 200 22:86 43:3 49:16 54:2 55:9 49:7,14 Wherefor 70:16 While 17:22 24:21 2		Wiote 3.14		1		
57:22 58:6,19 60:10,11,12 60:10,11,13 60:10 60:10 60:10 50:24 55:22 67:16,17,18 60:10 50:10 50:24 55:22 7 60'to 15:13 22:14 52:23 22:23 22:23 22:23 22:23 23:24:16 43:3 49:16 54:2 25:23 33:2 41:6 43:3 49:16 54:2 25:23 33:2 41:6 43:3 49:16 54:2 25:23 33:2 41:6 43:3 49:16 54:2 25:23 33:2 41:6 43:3 49:16 54:2 25:23 33:2 41:6 43:3 49:16 54:2 25:23 33:2 41:6 43:3 49:16 54:2 25:23 33:2 41:6 43:3 49:16 54:2 25:24 55:22  Y 2000 31:3 70:10 70:17 70:17 70:17 70:18 70:18 70:19 80:19:24 70:1		<b>Y</b>				
66:10,41,12 67:16,17,18 69:10 69:10 69:10 72:22,23 Y 2002 28:6 75:313,4 22:10 25:22,23 Y 2002 28:6 75:313,4 20:10 25:22,23 Y 2003 31:3 70:10 70:17 70:17 70:16 70:16 70:18 70:19 8th 70:17 70:17 8th 70:19 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 8th 70:19 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 9th 70:18 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 9th 70:18 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 9th 70:18 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 9th 70:16 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 9th 70:16 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 9th 70:16 9th 70:18 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 9th 70:16 8th 70:17 8th 70:17 9th 70:17 8th 70:17 9th 70:18 8th 70:17 9th 70:17 8th 70:17 9th 70:17 9th 70:17 9th 70:18 9th 70:10 9th	, , ,		1			
616,9,13 65;24 67:16,17,18 69:10 52:24 55:22 67:16,17,18 69:10 52:24 55:22 80've 18:13 28:23 33:2 41:6 43:3 49:16 542 28:13 33:2 41:6 49:7,14 29:17 49:14 29:17 49:14 29:17 49:14 29:17 51:2 20:18 58:19 20:18 58:19 20:18 58:12 30:22 8:6 3 7 53:13,14 7 45:611 70:17 74 56:11 70:17 226 33:12 80 37:2 40:12 80 37:8 40:12 2002 38:6 7 53:13,14 7 45:611 70:17 45:611 70:17 45:611 70:17 45:611 88:10-13 226 63:12 27 28:6 35:23 9 27 28:6 35	•		1 *	i · · ·		
69:10 69:10 52:24 55:22 2000 28:6 7 53:13,14 74 56:11 72:31 025:22,23 7 2002 28:6 75:31,314 74 56:11 74 56:11 75:9 70:17 70:17 70:16 70:18 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:17 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 70:19 8 8 7 53:13,14 74 56:11 74 56:11 74 56:11 74 56:11 74 56:11 74 56:11 74 56:11 74 56:11 74 56:11 75:11 30:22 72 26:23 12 8 8th 70:17 8 8bg 27:2 9 25:12,19 9 27:10,10		l '		[	i ·	
69:10				05 70.0		
we've 15:13	· ·			7		
23:10 25:22,23 25:23 33:2 41:6 43:3 49:16 54:2 55:9 whatsoever 67:3 WHEREOF 70:16 While 17:22 Whole 45:16 willing 12:16 19:11 49:14 27:18 31:20 32:16 36:15 27:18 31:20 32:16 36:15 27:18 31:20 32:16 36:15 27:18 31:20 32:16 36:15 27:18 31:20 32:16 36:15 32:16 36:15 32:16 36:15 42:21,21 42:11,21,31,9 29 28:16 19:11 49:14 wind 58:15 wind 58:15 wish 4:1 5:47,9 wishes 39:15 30:14:14 25:4 42:17 withdraw 41:24 42:17 withdraw 41:24 42:17 withdraw 41:24 28:17 57:10 70:16 11:19 word 50:4 words 40:5 work 25:11 26:3 39:23 41:20 42:19,20 43:17 56:19 word 50:4 words 40:5 work 25:11 26:3 39:23 41:20 42:19,20 43:17 56:19 words 40:5 work 25:11 26:3 39:23 41:20 42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 workable 54:20 workship 58:20 42:17 52:19 67:5 10-minute 50:18 workship 64:17 48:16 works 53:24 39:17 43:12 39:18 40:20 40:31:19 40:40:36:24 40:17 44:40:40:36:41 40:40:36:41 40:40:36:41 40:40:36:41 40:40:36:41 40:40:36:41 40:40:36:41 40:40:36:41 40:40:40:41 40:40:40:40:40:40 40:40:40:40:40:40 40:40:40:40:40:40:40 40:40:40:40:40:40:40 40:40:40:40:40:40:40 40:40:40:40:40:40:40 40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40 40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40 40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40 40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:4		52:24 55:22	1	1		
25:23 33:2 41:6 43:3 49:16 54:2 55:9 whatsoever 67:3 WHEREOF 70:16 While 17:22 whole 45:16 19:11 49:14 62:11 wind 58:15 wind 58:15 wind 58:15 wind 58:15 wish 4:11 5:4,7,9 wishers 39:15 wishe 39:15 wishers 63:17 withdraw 41:24 42:1,7 withdraw 41:24 42:1,7 withdraw 42:25 42:17 52:2 29:17 51:2 53:17 57:10 70:16 11:19 word 50:4 29:17 57:2 word 80:5 10:11 36:22  words 40:5  words 40:5  words 40:5  words 40:6  42:17, 12, 13, 19  29th 56:11  29th 56:11  29th 56:11  30:27:20 29th 56:11  30:27:10, 10  29th 56:11  30:27:10, 10  20  30:28:3 30:27:2  99 25:12, 19 90 27:10, 10  27:10, 10  27:10, 10  27:10, 10  29th 56:11  30:27:10  30:2				· '		
43:3 49:16 54:2 55:9	•		. — –	74 56:11		
55:9		ļ <b>-</b>	1			
whatsoever 67:3         year 46:19 48:7 years 7:22 9:9,10 years 7:22 years 7:28 3:22 years 7:28 years 7:28 years 7:28 years 7:28 years 7:28 years 7:29 years 7:28 years 7:29 years 7:28 years 7:29 years 7:						
WHEREOF 70:16 While 17:22 Whole 45:16 willing 12:16 24:21 26:23 19:11 49:14 62:11 42:11,12,13,19 win 18:6 42:21,21 43:12 wind 58:15 wish 4:11 5:4,7,9 wishes 39:15 wishes 39:15 wishes 39:15 withdraw 41:24 42:1,7 withdraw 41:24 42:1,7 withdraw 20:3 withess 6:5 33:12 30:18 36:20 30:18 39:20 30:18 39:20 30:18 39:20 30:18 39:20 30:19 30:11 29:28:16 27th 3:18 27,000 51:12 29:28:16 27th 3:18 29:28:16 27th 3:18 29:28:16 27th 3:18 27,000 51:12 29:28:16 29:12,19 90:59:18 90:49:33:7 90:49:49:33:7 90:49:49:33:7 91:27:10,10  97:27:10,10  97:27:10,10  97:27:10,10  97:27:10,10  97:27:10,10  97:27:10,10  97:27:10,10  97:27:10,10  97:27:10,10  97:27:10,10  97:27:10,10  97:27:10,10  97:27:10,10  97:27:10,10  99:49:49 90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:99 91:27:9 91:27:9 91:27:9 91:27:9 91:27:9 91:27:10,10  90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:10,10  90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:10,10  90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:10,10  90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:10,10  90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:10,10  90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:10,10  90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:10,10  90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:10,10  90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:10,10  90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:10,10  90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:10,10  90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:10,10  90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:10,10  90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:10,10  90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:10,10  90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:10,10  90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:19 90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:10,10  90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:10,10  90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:10,10  90:59:18 90:49:33:7 91:27:19 90:59:18 90:49:33:7 90:49:33:16 36:18 12:13 30:00:57:12 30:00:57:20 30:00:57:2		,	,			
While 17:22 whole 45:16 whole 45:16 19:11 49:14 27:18 31:20 27:18 31:20 27:18 31:20 29 28:16 27:18 31:20 29 28:16 27:18 31:20 29 28:16 27:19 31:18 90.5 99:10 90.5 99:10 90.5 99:10 90.5 99:10 90.5 99:10 90.5 99:10 90.5 99:10 90.5 99:10 90.5 99		-		80s 27:2		
whole 45:16 willing 12:16		, •	<u> </u>			
willing 12:16 19:11 49:14 62:11 20:11 49:14 42:11,12,13,19 20:18:16 42:11,12,13,19 20:18:16 42:11,12,13,19 20:18:16 42:11,12,13,19 20:18:16 42:11,12,13,19 20:18:16 42:11,12,13,19 20:18:16 42:11,13,19 20:18:16 42:11,13,19 20:18:16 42:11,13,19 20:18:16 42:11,13,19 20:18:16 42:11,13,19 20:18:16 42:11,13,19 20:18:16 42:11,13,19 20:18:16 42:11,13 42:13 42:13 42:13 42:17 42:17 42:18:18:18:12 20:18:18:18 20:18:18:14 3 30:18:18:14 3 30:18:18:14 3 30:18:18:14 3 30:18:18:14 3 30:18:18:14 3 30:18:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:18:18 30:18:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:18:18 30:18:18:18 30:18:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:18 30:18:1						
19:11 49:14 62:11 42:11,12,13,19 42:12,12 43:12 wind 58:15 wind 58:15 wind 58:12 wind 58:12 wind 58:12 wind 66:2 wish 4:11 5:4,7,9 wishes 39:15 wishing 62:17 withdraw 41:24 42:1,7 withdrawn 20:3 withess 6:5 14:24 25:4 29:17 51:2 29:28:16 90-day 33:7 91 27:9 97 27:10,10  97 27:10,10  97 27:10,10  97 27:10,10  97 27:10,10  97 27:10,10  97 27:10,10  97 27:10,10				1 '		
62:11 win 18:6	_					
win 18:6 wind 58:15 wind 58:12 wind 58:12 wish 4:11 5:4,7,9 wishes 39:15 wishing 62:17 withdraw 41:24 42:1,7 withdrawn 20:3 witness 6:5 14:24 25:4 29:17 57:10 70:16 11:19 word 50:4 work 25:11 26:3 39:23 41:20 42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 works bis 54:20 work 33:43 8:9 solved ads:6 106 54:18 56:19 66:19 68:22 30,000 51:12 309 1:8 3:5 70:13 309.146 45:24 46:5 35 1:7 37:4 11:10 11:22 70:12 39 33:16 39 33:16 39 33:16 39 33:16 39 39:33 41:20 42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 work 25:11 26:3 39:23 41:20 42:19,30 43:17 52:19 67:5 work 36:6 100 57:19 working 15:20,24 26:10 34:6 54:18 56:1 64:13 workload 45:17 49:16 works 13:24 38:9 53:22,22 55:4 work 33:6 15 2:18,22  55 2:18,22  55 3:10 68:24 44:20 42:17,000 58:17 42:19 67:5 000 58:17 42:19 67:5 000 58:17 40 7:22 9:9,10 403 1:15 2:4 40:10 68:24 68:24 425:7,8 425:7	19:11 49:14	32:16 36:15	27,000 51:12	90-day 33:7		
wind 58:15 winds 58:12 winds 66:2 wish 4:11 5:4,7,9 wishes 39:15 wishing 62:17 withdraw 41:24 42:1,7 withdrawn 20:3 withess 6:5 084-004316 1:20 70:23 309.146 45:24 29:17 51:2 53:17 57:10 1 11:19 word 50:4 work 25:11 26:3 39:23 41:20 42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 work bill 5:20,24 26:10 34:6 54:18 56:1 66:19 44:20 54:14 3 3 6:8 15:4 3 3 0:8 15:4 3 3 0:8 15:4 3 3 0:8 15:4 3 3 0:9 14:11 42:13 51:24 53:4 56:19 66:19 68:22 30,000 51:12 309.148 45:24 46:5 35 1:7 3:4 11:10 11:22 70:12 39 33:16 10 1:13 6:22 39 33:16 39 33:16 30:17 10 1:13 6:22 39 33:16 30:17 10 1:13 6:22 39 33:16 30:17 30:17 30:17 42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 10-minute 50:18 work able 54:20 42:13 11 1:23 70:11 workload 45:17 49:16 works 13:24 38:9 53:22,22 55:4 workd 38:6 15 2:18,22 55:19 68:24 42:19,20 43:17 49:16 68:24 68:24 56:19 66:19 30 14:11 42:13 30	62:11	, , ,				
winds 58:12 wins 66:2 66:19 54:14 66:19 3 6:8 15:4 wish 64:11 5:4,7,9 wishes 39:15 wishing 62:17 withdraw 41:24 42:1,7 withdraw 20:3 0 30,000 51:12 withdrawn 20:3 0 30,000 51:12 309.146 45:24 42:1,7 vithdrawn 20:3 0 30,000 51:12 309.146 45:24 46:5 33:17 57:10 1 35 1:7 3:4 11:10 11:22 70:12 word 50:4 1,000 35:10 44:7 words 40:5 10 1:13 6:22 398 29:19 30:13 39:23 41:20 42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 10-minute 50:18 work 25:10 34:6 120 42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 10-minute 50:18 54:18 56:1 1060 36:2 42:13 work 13:24 38:9 53:22,22 55:4 44:4 world 38:6 15 2:18,22 55	win 18:6	42:21,21 43:12	29th 56:11	97 27:10,10		•
wins 66:2 wish 4:11 5:4,7,9 wishes 39:15 wishing 62:17 withdraw 41:24 42:1,7 witheraw 42:24 29:17 51:2 53:17 57:10 70:16 11:19 1000 55:10 42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 work 25:11 26:3 39:23 41:20 42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 work 25:13 66:19 3 6:8 15:4 3,000 57:20 30 14:11 42:13 56:19 30 14:11 42:13 300 15:12 30,000 51:12 30,000 51:12 309 1:8 3:5 70:13 309 1:8 3:5 70:13 309 1:4 64:24 46:5 35 1:7 3:4 11:10 11:22 70:12 399 33:16 39:23 41:20 42:19,20 43:17 56:19 30:17 42:19,20 43:17 56:19 30:17 42:19,20 43:17 56:19 42:57,8 44 45:7,8 49:16 42:43 407:22 9:9,10 42:19,20 43:17 49:16 42:44 48:17,18 49:16 53:22,22 55:4 4 4:4 world 38:6 45:218,22 55:19 36:19 36:8 15:4 3000 57:20 30 14:11 42:13 309 1:8 3:5 70:13 309 1:8 3:5 70:13 309 1:8 3:5 70:13 309 1:8 3:5 70:13 309 1:8 2:7 70:12 309 1:8 3:5 70:13 309 1:8 3:	wind 58:15	44:20 54:14				
wish 4:11 5:4,7,9 wishes 39:15	winds 58:12	56:19 64:14	3			
wishes 39:15 wishing 62:17 withdraw 41:24 42:1,7 withdrawn 20:3 witness 6:5 14:24 25:4 29:17 51:2 53:17 57:10 70:16 1 11:19 word 50:4 words 40:5 work 25:11 26:3 39:23 41:20 42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 workable 54:20 working 15:20,24 26:10 34:6 64:13 work old 45:17 49:16 work old 38:6  30 14:11 42:13 51:24 53:4 56:19 66:19 66:12 309 1:8 3:5 70:13 309.146 45:24 46:5 35 1:7 3:4 11:10 11:29 11:22 70:12 39 33:16 39 33:16 39 33:16 30 30:17  42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 workable 54:20 working 15:20,24 26:10 34:6 11:23 70:11 49:16 122.4A 48:17,18 49:16 124 51:13 51:24 53:4 4 42:13 40 14:21 49:16 124 51:13 68:24  68:24  68:24  68:24  68:24  68:22  30 14:11 42:13 51:24 53:4 56:19 66:19 11:23 30 30 1:3 30 1:3 30 1:3 30 30 1:3	wins 66:2	66:19	3 6:8 15:4			
wishing 62:17 withdraw 41:24 42:1,7 withdrawn 20:3 witness 6:5 14:24 25:4 29:17 51:2 53:17 57:10 70:16 11:19 word 50:4 work 25:11 26:3 39:23 41:20 42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 worksble 54:20 working 15:20,24 26:10 34:6 54:18 56:1 68:22 309.00 51:12 309.146 45:24 46:5 35 1:7 3:4 11:10 11:22 70:12 39 33:16 30:17 42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 0-minute 50:18 4 4 25:7,8 4 4 25:7,8 4 4 07:22 9:9,10 4 4 25:7,8 4 4 07:22 9:9,10 4 4 1:23 4 4 1:23 4 4 1:23 4 4 3:1 4 1 1:23 4 1 1:23 4 1 1:23 4 4 4th 1:23 4 4th 1:23 4 5 31:10 68:24 6 8:24 world 38:6  15 2:18,22 5	wish 4:11 5:4,7,9	Yellow 9:7	3,000 57:20			
withdraw 41:24 42:1,7 withdrawn 20:3 witness 6:5 14:24 25:4 29:17 51:2 53:17 57:10 70:16 11:19 1000 35:10 44:7 words 40:5 39:23 41:20 42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 workable 54:20 workable 54:20 working 15:20,24 26:10 34:6 56:19 66:19 68:22 30,000 51:12 309 1:8 3:5 70:13 309.146 45:24 46:5 35 1:7 3:4 11:10 11:22 70:12 39 33:16 39 33:16 39:23 41:20 42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 workable 54:20 working 15:20,24 26:10 34:6 54:18 56:1 1060 36:2 403 1:15 2:4 64:13 workload 45:17 49:16 works 13:24 38:9 53:22,22 55:4 workload 38:6 15 2:18,22 55	wishes 39:15		30 14:11 42:13			
42:1,7     68:22       withdrawn 20:3     0       witness 6:5     084-004316 1:20       14:24 25:4     70:23       29:17 51:2     309.146 45:24       53:17 57:10     1       70:16     1 11:19       word 50:4     1,000 35:10 44:7       words 40:5     10 1:13 6:22       work 25:11 26:3     39:23 41:20       42:19,20 43:17     56:19       52:19 67:5     10-minute 50:18       workable 54:20     400 58:17       working 15:20,24     105 11:11,22       26:10 34:6     1060 36:2       40:13     11:23       49:16     11:30 50:18       49:16     11:30 50:18       49:16     122.4A 48:17,18       works 13:24 38:9     124 51:13       53:22,22 55:4     14 4:4       world 38:6     15 2:18,22       5     5	wishing 62:17	<b>Z</b>	51:24 53:4			
withdrawn 20:3 witness 6:5 14:24 25:4 29:17 51:2 53:17 57:10 70:16 1 11:19 word 50:4 10 1:13 6:22 39 33:16 39:23 41:20 42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 workable 54:20 working 15:20,24 26:10 34:6 54:13 11 1:23 workload 45:17 49:16 work 38:6 10 13 30,000 51:12 309 1:8 3:5 70:13 309.146 45:24 46:5 35 1:7 3:4 11:10 11:22 70:12 39 33:16 39 33:16 39 33:16 39 39:23 30:17 10 60:25 10 1:13 6:22 39 33:16 39 39:29 19 30:13 39:29 19 30:13 39:29 19 30:13 30:17 4 25:7,8 4 4 25:7,8 4 4 25:7,8 4 4 25:7,8 4 4 25:7,8 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	withdraw 41:24	zero 32:6	56:19 66:19			
witness 6:5 14:24 25:4 29:17 51:2 53:17 57:10 70:16 11:19 11:22 70:12 word 50:4 10 1:13 6:22 39 33:16 39:23 41:20 42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 10-minute 50:18 workable 54:20 working 15:20,24 26:10 34:6 54:18 56:1 64:13 workload 45:17 49:16 works 13:24 38:9 53:22,22 55:4 world 38:6 1084-004316 1:20 309 1:8 3:5 70:13 309.146 45:24 46:5 35 1:7 3:4 11:10 11:22 70:12 39 33:16 39:23 41:20 39 33:16 39:23 41:20 39 33:16 39:23 41:20 39 33:16 39:23 41:20 39 33:16 39:23 41:20 39 33:16 39:23 41:20 39 33:16 39:23 41:20 39 33:16 39 29:19 30:13 30:17 49:16 42:19,20 43:17 40:10 57:19 42:7,8 40:10 57:19 40:122 9:9,10 40:123 70:11 40:123 70:11 40:123 70:11 40:123 40:10 68:24 40:13 41:23 49:16 68:24 49:16 68:24 40:13 40:10 68:24 40:10 68:24 40:10 38:6	42:1,7		68:22			
14:24 25:4	withdrawn 20:3	0	30,000 51:12		:	
29:17 51:2 53:17 57:10 70:16 1 11:19 11:22 70:12 word 50:4 words 40:5 10 1:13 6:22 39A 33:9 work 25:11 26:3 39:23 41:20 42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 workable 54:20 working 15:20,24 26:10 34:6 54:13 07:10 060 36:2 49:16 64:13 workload 45:17 49:16 works 13:24 38:9 53:22,22 55:4 worl 38:6 1 10:10 35:10 44:7 11:10 11:22 70:12 39 33:16 39 33:16 39 33:16 39 33:19 39B 29:19 30:13 39:29:19 30:13 30:17 425:7,8 425:7,8 4000 58:17 4000 58:10 4000 58:10 4000 58:10 4000 58:10 4000 58:10 4000 58:10 4000 58:10 4000 58:10 4000 58:	witness 6:5	084-004316 1:20	309 1:8 3:5 70:13			
53:17 57:10  70:16  1 11:19  11:22 70:12  39 33:16  39 33:16  39 33:16  39 33:16  39 33:19  39 29:19 30:13  39:23 41:20  42:19,20 43:17  52:19 67:5  10-minute 50:18  workable 54:20  working 15:20,24  26:10 34:6  54:18 56:1  64:13  workload 45:17  49:16  works 13:24 38:9  53:22,22 55:4  world 38:6  1 11:19  11:22 70:12  39 33:16  39 33:9  39B 29:19 30:13  30:17  4 25:7,8  4 25:7,8  4 000 58:17  40 7:22 9:9,10  4	14:24 25:4	70:23	309.146 45:24			
70:16	29:17 51:2		46:5			
word 50:4 words 40:5 work 25:11 26:3 39:23 41:20 42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 workable 54:20 working 15:20,24 26:10 34:6 54:18 56:1 64:13 workload 45:17 49:16 works 13:24 38:9 53:22,22 55:4 worl 38:6 10 1:13 6:22 39A 33:9 39B 29:19 30:13 30:17 425:7,8 425:7,8 425:7,8 4000 58:17 40 7:22 9:9,10 403 1:15 2:4 403 1:15 2:4 403 1:15 2:4 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:24 38:9 405 31:24 38:9 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24	53:17 57:10	1	35 1:7 3:4 11:10			
word 50:4 words 40:5 work 25:11 26:3 39:23 41:20 42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 workable 54:20 working 15:20,24 26:10 34:6 54:18 56:1 64:13 workload 45:17 49:16 works 13:24 38:9 53:22,22 55:4 worl 38:6 10 1:13 6:22 39A 33:9 39B 29:19 30:13 30:17 425:7,8 425:7,8 425:7,8 4000 58:17 40 7:22 9:9,10 403 1:15 2:4 403 1:15 2:4 403 1:15 2:4 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:24 38:9 405 31:24 38:9 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24 405 31:10 68:24		1 11:19	i			
words 40:5 work 25:11 26:3 39:23 41:20 42:19,20 43:17 52:19 67:5 workable 54:20 working 15:20,24 26:10 34:6 54:18 56:1 64:13 10:1:13 6:22 39A 33:9 30:17 30:17 42:19,20 43:17 10s 62:5 10-minute 50:18 4 25:7,8 4,000 58:17 40 7:22 9:9,10 403 1:15 2:4 64:13 11 1:23 70:11 workload 45:17 49:16 12:4A 48:17,18 49:16 works 13:24 38:9 53:22,22 55:4 44:4 world 38:6 15 2:18,22  39A 33:9 39B 29:19 30:13 30:17  4 25:7,8 40 400 58:17 40 7:22 9:9,10 403 1:15 2:4 70:11 44th 1:23 45 31:10 68:24 68:24 68:24  68:24  68:24			39 33:16			
work 25:11 26:3		•				
39:23 41:20						
42:19,20 43:17       10s 62:5       4         52:19 67:5       10-minute 50:18       4         workable 54:20       100 57:19       4 25:7,8         working 15:20,24       105 11:11,22       4,000 58:17         26:10 34:6       12:13       40 7:22 9:9,10         54:18 56:1       1060 36:2       403 1:15 2:4         64:13       11 1:23       70:11         workload 45:17       11:30 50:18       44th 1:23         49:16       122.4A 48:17,18       45 31:10 68:24         works 13:24 38:9       124 51:13       68:24         53:22,22 55:4       14 4:4       53         world 38:6       15 2:18,22       5						
52:19 67:5       10-minute 50:18       4         workable 54:20       100 57:19       4 25:7,8         working 15:20,24       105 11:11,22       4,000 58:17         26:10 34:6       12:13       40 7:22 9:9,10         54:18 56:1       1060 36:2       403 1:15 2:4         64:13       11 1:23       70:11         workload 45:17       11:30 50:18       44th 1:23         49:16       122.4A 48:17,18       45 31:10 68:24         works 13:24 38:9       124 51:13       68:24         53:22,22 55:4       14 4:4       53         world 38:6       15 2:18,22       5			<del>-</del>			
workable 54:20	· ·		4			
working 15:20,24 26:10 34:6 12:13 40 7:22 9:9,10 54:18 56:1 64:13 11 1:23 70:11 workload 45:17 49:16 122.4A 48:17,18 45 31:10 68:24 works 13:24 38:9 53:22,22 55:4 4,000 58:17 40 7:22 9:9,10 403 1:15 2:4 70:11 44th 1:23 45 31:10 68:24 68:24 68:24  world 38:6 15 2:18,22 5						
26:10 34:6			1 '			
54:18 56:1		*	*			
64:13		<del>-</del>				
workload 45:17						
49:16     122.4A 48:17,18     45 31:10 68:24       works 13:24 38:9     124 51:13     68:24       53:22,22 55:4     14 4:4						
works 13:24 38:9						
53:22,22 55:4		•				
world 38:6 15 2:18,22 <u>5</u>			00:24			
The state of the s	· ·					
WATTION ΔΔ177   1X ΔX17   5 21170 2X17X 1Δ		•				
	worried 44:12	18 48:2	5 21:20 28:13,14			
worth 6:22 1974 56:10 34:6,15 43:12			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
write 44:23   1980s 31:3   5th 56:11	write 44:23	1980s 31:3	5th 56:11			